UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD OFFICE OF MARINE SAFETY

INTERVIEW OF MR. SCOTT WADDLE, CDR, USN, (RET)
IN REGARD TO THE MAJOR MARINE ACCIDENT COLLISION OF THE
JAPANESE FISHERIES TRAINING VESSEL EHIME MARU
AND THE U.S. NAVY NUCLEAR ATTACK SUBMARINE
USS GREENVILLE
DCA 01 MM 022

Thursday, March 14, 2002

APPEARANCES:

On behalf of the National Transportation Safety Board:

TOM ROTH-ROFFY
Office of Marine Safety (MS-10)
Washington, D.C. 20594
(202) 314-6494

BARRY STRAUCH

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	10:00 a.m.
3	MR. ROTH-ROFFY: The time is now ten hundred.
4	The date is the 14th of March, 2002, and here we're
5	here to interview Mr. Scott Waddle.
6	My name is Tom Roth-Roffy of the National
7	Transportation Safety Board, and also joining in will
8	be Mr. Barry Strauch of the NTSB.
9	Also present is Mr. Waddle's wife, Jill.
10	I don't know, Barry, if this how well this
11	is going to pick up. You're quite a distance from this
12	mike, but
13	MR. STRAUCH: I'll do what I can. Tell us
14	about (inaudible) Admiral Konetzni?
15	MR. WADDLE: That's not relevant to the
16	interview, is it? Or
17	MR. STRAUCH: Well, was was he in your
18	line of supervision?
19	MR. WADDLE: He was. I he was the
20	commander of the submarine <u>force Pacific Fleet</u>
21	(inaudible) Sea <u>Two</u> Star, and the individual that
22	I did not directly report to him. I was an indirect
23	report. My boss, Captain Rich Snead, who is the
24	commander of Southern Submarine Squadron One, would

reports that he would have made would have been through
the chief of staff, Captain Bob —

(inaudible)Brandhuber.

MR. STRAUCH: So, your line of supervision to

have had a more direct report to the admiral, but any

- MR. STRAUCH: So, your line of supervision to

 Admiral -- (inaudible) Konetzni-- was through Captain

 Snead, then through Captain -- (inaudible) Brandhuber) -
 and then to Admiral -- Konetzni (inaudible)?
- 9 MR. WADDLE: Yes. You could -- you could
 10 look at it that, but my day-to-day interaction with a
 11 supervisor would have been with my squadron commander,
 12 and that is Captain Rich Snead.
- MR. STRAUCH: Well, the reason I asked about

 Admiral (inaudible) Konetzni is one of the

 things that I picked up from the court of inquiry is

 that Admiral (inaudible) Konetzni said he —

 something to the effect of he loved you like a son, and

 you said that you that you loved him. That just

 struck me as odd.
- MR. WADDLE: Well, we had a -- we had a -
 not only a professional but a personal relationship as

 well. He was a mentor. He was my teacher, and he was

 a man that I thought had values that I truly supported.

 And he was interested in a sailor's quality of life on

1	several initiatives that were not very favorable at the
2	time that I took command back in March of 1999, but his
3	his themes of people, efficiency, and engagement
4	were not far from those three themes that I've
5	fostered, which were safety, efficiency, and backup.
6	I met Admiral <u>Kinetsnee</u> <u>Konetzni</u> (ph) <u>Konetzni</u>
7	as a lieutenant when I passed my engineer's exam in
8	1986, when he was the deputy commandant of midshipmen
9	at the Naval Academy, and he was one of the few
10	officers that slapped me on the back submarine
11	officers, that patted me on the back and said, "Hey,
12	good job, congratulations," and he was kind of a
13	cheerleader, kind of like me.
14	So, our our personalities were were
15	very much alike, and I didn't have any close close
16	interaction with him until I was an executive officer
17	on the San Francisco a number of years later, in
18	approximately 1994 when he was the commander of
19	Southern Submarine Group Seven in Kusco Yokosuka (ph),
20	Yokosuka Japan. My submarine was on deployment and I
21	happened to meet him again and reminded him that he was
22	one of the key individuals that inspired me to stay in
23	the Navy. Back in the mid '80s it wasn't uncommon for
24	commanding officers and senior submariners to kind of

1	eat the young, that is the JOs, and berate them,
2	castigate them, cast them aside because the economy was
3	poor and it was plentiful to get officers.
4	So, what does all this have to do with
5	Kinetsnee Konetzni Konetzni? He was an inspirational
6	officer. He was he was gregarious. He was
7	engaging. He was charismatic. He spoke his mind. He
8	was he was brilliant, a very smart man, and I
9	admired all those qualities. And when he was passed
10	over for his third star, there were a lot of people
11	that didn't believe that his career would continue, but
12	he had developed such a popular following with the
13	Senate because, again, he spoke up over the concern of
14	the number of submarines, the decline declining
15	number, the fact that we weren't building, the the
16	issues in on a global basis that with more and
17	more submarines. There were several platforms that he
18	stood on that weren't very popular, but nevertheless,
19	he spoke his mind and he did what he thought was right.
20	And then, when the tides of change occurred
21	and people realized, you know, we'd better get on this
22	bandwagon and and carry through, suddenly he found
23	himself being a popular individual, but nevertheless,
24	he burned a lot of bridges because of his opinions

Τ	And Congress, when they saw that he was passed over, a
2	select few initiated a bill. I don't know if it was
3	one that was tied onto the end of a large bill, but he
4	was promoted.
5	And we maintained a very close relationship
6	throughout my two-year tour and command. We had dinner
7	at his house. We had mutual friends. It was a a
8	person we both like to smoke cigars, we both like
9	wine and scotch, we both like our jobs. We love the
10	Navy. We're very passionate about our men. He enjoyed
11	the success of his efforts, I enjoy the success of
12	mine, and we both knew that the key to that success was
13	taking care of our people.
14	And I openly voiced my opinion, my support of
15	him, despite what others may have thought, and that's
16	why on that day, you know, he said he loved me as a son
17	and I said I loved him as a father, because I admired
18	and respected him. However, the one thing that I
19	still, to this day, don't recall was the conversation
20	we had in my stateroom which he said, hey, I think
21	you're going a little bit too fast, you're getting
22	ahead of your crew. And I you know, coming from a
23	man that's your mentor and you admire and you respect,
24	that's something that generally you'd you'd

They're

1 remember. 2 Now, it's also not uncommon for me to be -and if I had him on my ship, be excited at the fact 3 4 that he was there, and I could have completely --- lets 5 not -- you know, missed it, but the bottom line is, is that a comment like that I think I would have 6 7 remembered because I would have taken it a little bit 8 more seriously. 9 And when he said that I was the one man that 10 he found that was informal on the ship, I find that hard to believe. I've never been informal with my men. 11 12 Not in a -- not in a professional manner where a flag 13 officer was on board, for that matter of fact, when any 14 quest or visitor was on board. Men as a group can be 15 candid in a private, you know, situation, but no, I --16 I -- I didn't agree with that. 17 But that's how our relationship was fostered, and essentially, he knew that I was equally as 18 19 engaging, gregarious, and charismatic with guests, and 20 so that's why the Greenville was selected on numerous occasions to -- to show what the Pacific Submarine 21 Force was capable of doing. The ship was good. It was 2.2

well-run, great crew, fantastic organization.

23

24

good men.

1	MR. STRAUCH: When he would select the
2	Greenville for these kinds of
3	MR. WADDLE: Not he personally, his staff.
4	See, you have to understand, the admiral the admiral
5	makes a lot of big, important decisions, but his staff
6	primarily would have been the public the individual
7	that made the decision to call the Greenville was
8	Rowena (ph), who worked at the Public Affairs Office.
9	She's a civilian. When we were in San Francisco,
10	California, in January of 2001, pick up the phone or
11	initiated a conversation to say, hey, can we get the
12	Greenville to do this? I always said, "yes." I never
13	said, "no." If Sub Pac requested a VIP embark or some
14	type of a tour, whether it was for Pat O'Brien o $\underline{\mathtt{fr}}$
15	"Access Hollywood" or it was from Jack I can't
16	remember the the individual's name, but he's from a
17	thinktank in Washington, D.C. and I've got his business
18	card someplace.
19	You know, we had we had a large number of
20	guests and visitors that would come to the ship. Not
21	every one was an <pre>envoy embark you know we didn't go</pre>
22	to sea (inaudible) but primarily, the Public Affairs
23	Office would get the request and it was Commander Dave
24	Warner who would detail these requests to one of the

1 two civilians in the job, Laura or Rowena. I get the 2 call, hey, Commander Waddle, can you do this? Sure I can. I was golfing one day at the Navy Marine Golf 3 4 Course, and I got the call when I was on the back nine, 5 hey, we've got Pat O'Brien, Sink Pac CINCPACFLEET -6 (inaudible) -- in, that is Admiral Fargo's office, 7 would like to have a tour set up for him, can you 8 support it? I said, Pat -- Pat who? I didn't know who 9 he was, but when I recognized the name of the show, I 10 said, "sure." So, a quick round of golf, met him, gave him 11 12 a tour, and it was a hit, you know. It was good. 13 There wasn't anything that I wouldn't do to help 14 promote our service. 15 But that request did not come from Admiral 16 Kinetsnee Konetzni, to my knowledge, directly. 17 anything, it came through his subordinates. And then, 18 how that got to me, I don't remember if it was through 19 the Naval Submarine Support Command. I just don't 20 remember the phone call I got, but I remember that I 21 did get a call on or around January 24th asking me, 2.2 could you embark these visitors and quests. The thing 23 I picked up on is, Admiral Mackey will be there and

Admiral Kinetsnee Konetzni will embark, and as soon as I

1 heard those two, I said, heck yeah, I'll do it. 2 Because anytime that I could take a former Pacific Fleet -- excuse me, commander in chief of the Pacific 3 4 and my boss, Admiral Kinetsnee Konetzni, out, I would do 5 it in a heartbeat -- (inaudible) -- because it was an 6 opportunity for me to show my men, not me -- it wasn't 7 about me. It was about showing my crew and -- and 8 these guys because they worked hard to deserve it and it was all about promoting the men on my ship. 9 10 MR. STRAUCH: Do you know of other COs --11 (inaudible) how would you compare them —-were they 12 willing to -- to have these kinds of tours, DV tours 13 and —— (inaudible)? MR. WADDLE: I -- I don't think that a --14 15 most -- most submarines -- submarine skippers and crews 16 see tours as a red-ass. You know, it interrupts your 17 day, you've got -- you've got to stop what you're doing 18 and clean the ship, get things stowed, prepare for it. 19 So, there are a select few that would do it because, 20 well, it was their turn. You know, tours were set up 21 on a rotating basis as -- as months would pass. 2.2 January it might be Submarine Squadron One, February is 23 Squadron Three, March might be Submarine Squadron

Seven. It just depended. And the times when usually

1	we got most of our calls would be when there was either
2	something very special or there was a need or a short-
3	<u>fused</u> (inaudible) event where no one else was
4	available.
5	Were other captains willing? I think most
6	captains enjoy <u>ed</u> showing off their submarines when they
7	could, if they weren't in a maintenance availability,
8	because it was an opportunity to tell the submarine's
9	story, which is what Admiral <u>Kinetsnee</u> <u>Konetzni</u> wanted
10	us to do. And it's something that we needed to do
11	because we saw that our our force was in jeopardy of
12	not having the adequate funding. We haven't been
13	building submarines as as frequently as we had in
14	the earlier part of my career, and the numbers had been
15	drawn down. The demands placed on the ships had
16	continued to increase. The mission requirements had
17	continued to grow while the numbers had dwindled, so
18	you know, a lot of lot of pressure's out there, and
19	so we had collateral jobs. Other than commanding a
20	submarine, we had to become public affairs experts.
21	MR. STRAUCH: And how did this get down to
22	you, that you had to do (inaudible) affect you
23	these collateral duties?
24	MR. WADDLE: Well, it it's you let

1	me ask you this, you know, in your job, how do you know
2	what you have to do? Through observation you learn,
3	non-verbal communications and acts. You just it's
4	it's part of the culture, and as the culture
5	develops, you you learn to do that.
6	Now, in my case, I've always been kind of a
7	cheerleader. I've never passed up an opportunity to
8	take a group around and even on even on a
9	weekend. If I <u>drove in, because</u> — you know, because I
10	lived on the base. I only lived a couple miles away
11	from the from the ship, from the boat. But if I was
12	driving in on a Saturday and I said, Honey, I'm I'm
13	going away for a short period of time, I'll be back
14	about half an hour, well, you know, that might turn
15	into a two-and-a-half, three-hour event. Why? Because
16	there may be somebody walking by the submarine that
17	expresses an interest, wants a tour, and I'd say, let
18	me take you down. They don't know who I am. I'm just
19	another guy in a pair of shorts, aloha shirt, and
20	shoes, and they're surprised at the fact that a
21	commanding officer on his time off would take the time
22	out of his day to do that. That was the way that I did
23	business. Other captains, I guarantee you, wouldn't
24	give people the time of day. Why? Because their

- 1 personal time is too valuable. That's not to say that
- 2 mine wasn't, but I knew that I enjoyed that.
- I loved it, I lived -- I lived to tell my
- 4 ship's story, and I made a lot of friends that way and
- 5 valuable friends, dear friends, lifelong friends
- 6 because of my sincere desire to be a good person, a
- 7 good Samaritan, and a -- and a good captain. You know,
- 8 I felt that there was more to it than just getting up,
- 9 go in the morning, operating a submarine, and getting
- 10 back. This -- I -- I put my heart, my soul, my
- 11 character into my job, and I've always done that. And
- 12 so, that's -- that was me.
- 13 Other captains, I can quarantee you, they
- 14 could care less if they saw somebody on the brow
- 15 (SHOULD THAT BE BOW???) in the pouring rain, they may
- 16 say, hi, how you doing, but they'd walk off and they
- 17 wouldn't think twice. A lot of it is driven by
- 18 personality.
- 19 MR. STRAUCH: Now, on the DV cruises, were
- 20 you given any kind of direction as to things that you
- 21 couldn't do?
- MR. WADDLE: No. There -- there was no
- formal guidance put into place. There were no
- 24 restrictions, no instructions, notices, or whatever

- 1 that said this -- during the course of a distinguished
- 2 visitor cruise this is what you shall do. Now, that
- 3 may have changed since the event, but that's not to say
- 4 that information wasn't available and perhaps hadn't
- 5 been circulated on the waterfront, but I don't
- 6 specifically recall ever getting a document that says,
- 7 you know, when you have a Class A tour this is what you
- 8 shall do.
- 9 Now, there was an instruction that
- 10 specifically dictated the protocol that was involved in
- 11 -- in greeting dignitaries of different types of tours.
- 12 If it was a Class A tour, then that mean -- meant that
- it was someone like a congressional delegation or a --
- 14 a dignitary from, you know, a political structure or
- whatever, a senior military officer, whatever, that
- 16 warranted special attention. Then, if it was a Class -
- 17 I don't remember the numbers. Maybe it was Category
- 18 1, 2, 3, or 4, whatever, A, B, C, or D, but the lower
- 19 the category, the less formality was invoked.
- 20 And I would just say, for that day, for the
- 21 DV cruise that I had, there were no set of instructions
- 22 or requirements or guidelines to say, this is what
- you'll do, this is the timeline you'll follow, this is
- 24 what we expect. It was, take this group to sea, do

1	what you normally do, and come back. And and that
2	you know, there's no prohibition against an
3	emergency blow, there's no prohibition against high-
4	speed operations. It's up to the prudent decision of
5	the commanding officer at the time to determine what is
6	appropriate.
7	And for me, I I've taken I've seen
8	guests taken to test depth before. I've seen the
9	emergency blow maneuvers performed. The reason most
10	commanding officers don't do the emergency blow on
11	older submarines is because they're afraid they're
12	going to break something. It is it is a procedure
13	that primarily is reserved for just that, an emergency
14	evolution. But I could kill two birds in one stone. I
15	could get a maintenance event completed at the same
16	time as showing a distinguished visitor group or I can
17	validate or verify that the equipment is operating
18	correctly.
19	Because, I always had two different
20	philosophies in doing these things. One, I always had
21	new people on board my ship, young, impressionable men
22	who had never been out to sea before, who had never
23	driven a submarine at speeds greater than 25 naughts
24	knots or gone deeper than (inaudible) feet. And

1	so, I would do that each and every opportunity that I
2	had to prove to them that this is what this machine is
3	capable of doing so that they become comfortable in
4	that environment. Conduct an emergency blow evolution
5	so that they see it, they feel it, they know that in an
6	if a need arose, we could do the emergency ascent to
7	the surface and they've got the added feeling of
8	comfort and confidence, knowing that the equipment
9	works. And don't think for a minute that there aren't
10	people that go to sea brand-new, never been to sea or
11	much less submerged underwater, and aren't scared.
12	And so, that was all part of the plan part
13	of this part of not part of the discussion at the
14	court of inquiry. Never even entered into it. And it
15	was not considered to be, probably, relevant. But to
16	answer your question again, was there a set procedure
17	guideline, set of instructions, no, there wasn't. Is
18	there now? Can't tell you, but probably a good
19	question to ask.
20	MR. STRAUCH: Well, if you read the court of
21	inquiry, it looks like they focused on a couple of
22	things. They focused on the emergency blow
23	MR. WADDLE: Mm-hmm.
24	MR. STRAUCH: they focused on the test

1 depth -- (inaudible) classified speed -- the 2 watchdogbill --MR. WADDLE: If you have a piece of paper I 3 4 can write these things down on, I can address them. 5 MR. STRAUCH: Sure. 6 MR. WADDLE: I -- (inaudible). 7 (Pause) 8 MR. WADDLE: Okay. You said they focused on 9 10 MR. STRAUCH: This is my reading of it. They 11 focused on the emergency blow --12 MR. WADDLE: Yeah. 13 MR. STRAUCH: -- they focused on the 14 watchdogbill, --15 MR. WADDLE: Yeah. 16 MR. STRAUCH: -- focused on the test depth, 17 classified -- (inaudible) speed -- and they focused on the incident itself, the -- the --18 MR. WADDLE: Well, you missed the -- watch 19 20 qualification, personnel. 21 MR. STRAUCH: Yeah. 2.2 MR. WADDLE: And the -- go ahead. 23 MR. STRAUCH: Test depth -- test depth 24 classified speed, the events during the -- during the

- 1 incident --
- 2 MR. WADDLE: Mm-hmm.
- 3 MR. STRAUCH: -- rushing of the -- the
- 4 officer of the deck --
- 5 MR. WADDLE: Yeah. Command <u>climate</u>—
- 6 (inaudible) -- is another one.
- 7 MR. STRAUCH: Yeah, yeah. That's my reading
- 8 of it.
- 9 MR. WADDLE: Okay.
- 10 MR. STRAUCH: In hindsight, do you think that
- 11 they focused on the right things?
- MR. WADDLE: No, it didn't. I'll tell you
- 13 they didn't.
- MR. STRAUCH: Okay.
- MR. WADDLE: And -- because the whole thing -
- you know, from my opinion -- in my opinion, the Navy
- 17 wanted one thing, and that was to get a fall guy, point
- to one individual that was responsible. I'll tell you
- 19 again, it was me as the captain. Captain's
- 20 responsible, the captain is accountable. Why? Because
- 21 he bears all the responsibility. And if there's
- something that's not done right on his watch, then he
- is the guy that's accountable. He's the guy that's
- 24 responsible.

1	It's my opinion, after a year now, looking
2	back on it all, that the Navy wanted to get this off
3	the front page as fast as they could and put it behind
4	them. And to do so, they needed to develop a case.
5	They needed to show that, one, either I was haphazard,
6	I was cavalier, I was showboating, I was more
7	interested in the in the glamour and and the
8	attention and showing off things rather than focusing
9	on what's important. And to do that, they needed to
10	focus on the fact that I took the submarine deeper than
11	that which is advertised and faster than which is
12	advertised to collect the deep water samples, the fact
13	that I had I can't remember how many it was, nine
14	out of 13 people not in a designated place on the watch
15	bill, rushing the OD, focusing on what they considered
16	to be or, at least my navigator former navigator
17	Keith Sloane alluded to as a command climate where I
18	was very controlling, micromanaging, et cetera, which
19	couldn't be further from the truth. I'll take each one
20	of these things in step.
21	The the emergency blow evolution, the MBT
22	blow evolution, the reason Brandhuber said he thought
23	that that was an uncommon thing to do was because he
24	commanded a relatively older submarine. That was a Sar

- Juan. At the time it was fairly new, but nevertheless,
- 2 it was one of those things. You took a chance of
- 3 having something break. This was on a Friday
- 4 afternoon. I was scheduled for a Monday underway for
- 5 an engine -- major engineering exam, and if one of
- 6 these high-pressure air valves had a leak, that was
- 7 significant work, quality assurance testing, and stuff
- 8 that was required of the -- (inaudible) -- weekend. It
- 9 certainly was an element of risk, but I'd just done all
- 10 these tests. I knew the stuff worked, so I was
- 11 confident and, frankly, didn't give it much thought
- 12 thinking that something was going to break because,
- 13 knock on wood, it hadn't before. It worked. My crew
- 14 was good at what they did. The MBT blow was not an
- 15 issue.
- 16 The fact I had civilians at key -- what was
- 17 perceived as key watch stations, at the helm control,
- 18 at the emergency ballast -- (inaudible) -- blow
- 19 station, was made a point. Well, that's a bunch of
- 20 bull shit. Excuse me for saying it, but it is. Why?
- 21 Because they'd been there before and they've done it I
- 22 can't tell you how many times before. And so, the Navy
- 23 says, this is the first time we've ever known of
- 24 civilians sitting at the controls. Tipper Gore was

1	sitting there in February of '99 and there's
2	photographs showing her there. Now, was she doing an
3	emergency blow ascent? No, but she was driving the
4	ship under the supervision of a qualified and trained
5	watchstander, as was the case on the day of my
6	incident. A fully trained, fully qualified
7	watchstander is standing with their hands interlaced
8	over John Hall's at the emergency blow station and on
9	the controls where the sportswriter, and I forgot his
10	name, was sitting as well.
11	So, that I call that a red herring.
12	Irrelevant to the incident. The watch bill, nine of 13
13	people not in their designated spaces, irrelevant to
14	the watch station. Admiral Sullivan said he found it
15	incredulous that such a thing happened. Well, you know
16	what? He's blowing smoke because the guys that worked
17	for him, that knew him, saw him take his submarine to
18	periscope depth, Birmingham, his trident, without doing
19	(inaudible) baffle clears, and he criticizes me.
20	Is the pot calling the kettle black? But you know
21	what, there wasn't a form to address that. The fact
22	is, the commanding officer has the discretion to do
23	what he wants to do based on his environment, what he
24	knows to be true, and he gets paid to make those

Т	decisions. So, I'm sure those were smart decisions
2	made by the admiral when he was in command.
3	But the fact that nine of 13 people not in
4	their designated space, there was a complexity a
5	complexity that day that is not is not known or
6	understood unless you're in that environment. I had
7	I had less than two-thirds of my crew at sea that day.
8	Why? Because the rest of them were in port training,
9	getting ready for this deployment. The individuals
10	that were moving around were certainly not in their
11	designated spot by the watch bill, but they were fully
12	qualified personnel allowed to be in the seats that
13	they and the positions that they were manning. Why?
14	To support other sailors so they could go eat. You
15	don't write a watch bill to cover watch reliefs or
16	drinks or head breaks or whatever.
17	So, for that day, could the watch bill have
18	been managed better? Yes. But again, irrelevant.
19	Why? Because I had qualified people in their
20	designated spaces, where they were supposed to be, to
21	stand their watch.
22	Admiral Nafthman (ph) made it a point and
23	called it "situational awareness." Now, that may be
24	true because if I have men that are moving around and

1	they're not sitting in one place over the course of a
2	four- or five-hour period, they may not have as much
3	situational awareness as someone before them. But you
4	know what? For sonar watchstanders who stand watch in
5	the sonar room at two-hour rotating intervals or
6	whatever, half an hour on one seat, half an hour on
7	another, then half an hour off, their situational
8	awareness is also impacted, and situational awareness
9	depends upon a number of outside factors. But it's the
10	responsibility of the individual relieving that watch
11	station to fully understand the parameters of the
12	environment that he is in before he sits down and says,
13	I relieve you.
14	Now, if those guys didn't do that job and do
15	it right, where there's a fire controlman not looking
16	back at the contact evaluation plot or the officer of
17	the deck coming in and taking a look at the CE plot
18	time history, saying, you know what, we've been driving
19	north-south legs this whole time instead of, maybe,
20	east-west legs which might be more prudent to drive
21	bearing rate to determine the contact picture to the
22	north or the south or whatever.
23	Well, hindsight is a good thing, but I know
24	that probably what Cohen Coen, my OD, was thinking that

1	day is, I need to stay as close to the north as I can,
2	as soon as the captain says we're ready to turn and
3	surface and head back home, I'm going to get my butt
4	chewed if I am motoring on down to the south, because
5	he knows better. I mean, it'd just be stupid.
6	Cohen Coen was a smart officer. He wasn't a dummy. He
7	may have been a little bit passive and not as
8	aggressive, but and the fact is, is that he did what
9	he knew was right, but doggone it, there were some
10	other people there that could have backed him up and
11	said, sir, we're not generating bearing rate and these
12	contact fire controlman could have backed him up,
13	sonar supe could have backed him up, the navigator who
14	walked through Control could have seen it, the
15	executive officer walking through could have seen it, I
16	could have seen it. I'm just saying that there were
17	some backups in place that didn't work that day.
18	But the watch bill was not an issue. What
19	the court of inquiry focused on was an unqualified
20	watchstander who was sitting in a chair operating the
21	panel under the direct supervision of the sonar
22	supervisor McGi <u>bo</u> veny (ph). And this young man was
23	observing a practice which was common in the Pacific
24	Fleet for submarines of our configuration.

1	And the BS of it all is the fact that when
2	Sub Pac SUBPAC found out that this had occurred, they
3	sent a personal foremessage to the to the fleet
4	saying, if this has occurred on your submarine, then
5	submit a report and tell us that it's happened. Well,
6	guess what, do you think your captains are idiots?
7	Right after a collision do you honestly believe that a
8	submarine captain is going to raise his hand and say,
9	you know, Admiral, I've been so screwed up.
10	Fortunately, I haven't hit anything, but you know, we
11	don't do that here on the Louisville. Well, guess
12	what? The Louisville had just been inspected the week
13	before by one of the sonar team leaders, a sonar chief
14	and I forgot what Jimmy's last name was, but he he
15	looked at him, and he said, Captain, we can't believe
16	it. You know, I'd say at least 35 to 40 percent of the
17	fast-attack boats that have this ARCI submarine
18	modification were doing things the way you were doing
19	because there were no guidelines in place for it.
20	Now, that doesn't excuse doing the thing, but
21	I'd say it was common practice and it was served it
22	was observed by the by the tacticians that do the
23	monitoring of submarines by embarking and training
24	things. And so, if that's changed and if the

Т	guidelines for sonar manning has been changed, then
2	that's good. The "Naval Warfare Publication" I
3	can't recall specifically what the words were, but they
4	may not have addressed ARCI modification because, quite
5	frankly, we're at this phase in our in our in our
6	technology development where technology changes faster
7	than the paper can catch up with it.
8	So, that may be something that you may want
9	to ask, is how have the procedures changed or been
10	implemented, you know, for sonar watchstanders and
11	perhaps, maybe, throughout the if other
12	modifications to navigations operations equipment have
13	occurred so that you've got the right people in place.
14	Well anyway, I believe that that's been
15	adequately handled. The Sub Pac MMS have said, if
16	you're doing this it's wrong, so if you've been doing
17	it report it to me and let me know. Well, of course,
18	response is zero, and I know that I know for a fact
19	that that is that information was not valid. At the
20	time there were other submarines doing it. That
21	doesn't excuse it, but it's it's in hindsight. If
22	this accident hadn't happened, it wouldn't be an issue.
23	MR. STRAUCH: I'm sorry. How do you know
24	that's a fact?

1	MR. WADDLE: Because I told you, I got a
2	direct report from a sonar chief I think he's a
3	senior chief now who was on the Sub Pac staff who
4	had just gotten off the Louisville the week before my
5	accident and said, Captain, I saw that happen but all
6	the reports were negative, it did happen. And I could
7	tell you as a personal observer and a guy that goes out
8	to coach, teach these submarine crews how to use this
9	equipment, I've seen it happening all the time. It's
10	common practice.
11	So, what I'm trying to tell you is, again,
12	that was a ruse. Now, the thing with test depth and
13	the classified speed, sure that's classified
14	information, to go down to deep (inaudible) speed
15	greater than 25 naughts. And I had been given a
16	message, now that I think about it, that probably said
17	that, you know, the personnel are not allowed back
18	in the engine room and you should keep the
19	classification of the tour to, you know, this level,
20	and I think probably it was "unclassified." But you
21	know, the fact of it is, is when you submerge the ship,
22	the first thing you do is you take the covers off the
23	depth meters, you take the covers off the speed
24	indications, the GAM log, the the everything

1	about that submarine is classified when it goes to sea.
2	So, that to me is a joke, for them to make
3	the test depth issue, the classification of the speed
4	an issue. It was, again, another distract detractor
5	from the real event, which was how did this accident
6	happen. Let's get to the root cause. It's another red
7	herring, irrelevant, but again, and from my impression,
8	it was less let's show that this was a man who
9	didn't either follow the rules or overlooked critical
10	things and as such we can show that this is one fucked
11	up naval officer, you know. Just call it a spade.
12	Let's show how screwed up this guy was. Well, you
13	know, you don't go from being the cream of the crop to
14	lower than whale shit unless you have a collision and
15	you kill people, and that's what I did and that's where
16	I ended up.
17	But that's why the court of inquiry, in my
18	opinion, failed to focus on the real facts, the issues.
19	And they they missed some key information. That
20	was my testimony until I chose to do so at the very
21	end, but then, you know, the guys were caught off
22	guard, they weren't expecting it, hadn't been granted
23	immunity and never expected that I would. But I
24	couldn't interject and tell them about my command

- 1 climate. I couldn't challenge the things that Sloane
- 2 had said. I couldn't interact because why? I have
- 3 this fear of -- of me being unfairly prosecuted and
- 4 used as a political pawn.
- 5 But you know, continuing on the watch
- 6 qualifications, I think I addressed. The incident
- 7 itself I think is clear, but I believe that perhaps
- 8 there were -- I'll get back to that. I'm not sure
- 9 about what the court of inquiry had missed, but there
- 10 were some issues there that I believe, you know, I can
- 11 help you out with.
- Rushing the OD, I did give CohenCoen an
- 13 artificial time constraint. There was no way he could
- 14 get to periscope depth in five minutes.
- You tell your kid, get this done in the next
- 16 five -- go clean your room, I want it cleaned up in the
- 17 next five minutes. You know it isn't going to happen.
- I knew Cohen Coen couldn't get there in five minutes.
- 19 I gave him -- I should have said, in hindsight, I want
- 20 to get to periscope depth now, or I want to get to
- 21 periscope depth, not take all day getting there, Mr.
- 22 Cohen Coen, let's be efficient in this evolution and
- 23 make it happen. I could have said a lot of things, but
- 24 that artificial time constraint and the perception of

1 it did push things quickly. 2 MR. STRAUCH: Was there something about Mr. Cohen Coen that --3 4 MR. WADDLE: Yeah. CohenCoen -- CohenCoen 5 was fricking slow. He -- and you know, he -- he was 6 the wrong man to have on the watch bill at that time. 7 And shame on me for -- for not recognizing that. And -8 - and Jerry Pfeifer (ph) for my exec and Keith Sloane, 9 my senior watch officer, because I had young Lieutenant 10 Will Pritchett, the shining star of the ward room, the 11 top grad from the Naval Academy, one of my sharpest 12 ODs, who had just as much experience as CohenCoen and 13 was a better officer who could have made that thing happen, and if I had laid on him, he would have had the 14 15 guts to look at me and say, Captain, you're pushing me, 16 I need a couple more minutes, and I would have said 17 okay. I'd have backed off. 18 But CohenCoen, you have to understand, he came about a month after I arrived on board the ship 19 20 and has been so painfully slow through my whole career that I used to tell Jill when I'd get watch relief 21 reports coming in at night, I'd say, my God, sometimes 2.2 23 I just want to shoot myself when I have to listen to 24 him tell me about his day because it takes forever for

Τ	me to get information out of him. He can't get to the
2	important stuff. He can't tell me what's important.
3	But I never berated him. I didn't humiliate him. I
4	didn't embarrass him say, Mr. Cohen Coen, get to the
5	point, what are you trying to tell me? And because I
6	remembered I hated being treated that way, too, when I
7	was a junior officer, so I tried to teach him.
8	And on that day, you know, what was it about
9	CohenCoen? Mr. CohenCoen, I want you at periscope
10	depth in the next five minutes. Because he couldn't
11	think ahead. I always tried to get my guys to think
12	about the next when you give an order, know what
13	your next order is going to be, and if you can, know
14	what the follow-on order beyond that is. So when you
15	get the response you give when you hear the
16	response, you can give the next order, you don't have
17	to say, okay, well, that's good, that's done, now, what
18	do I need to do next? And he was very slow. Yeah,
19	there was something about Coen . That's true.
20	And unfortunately, on that day there was
21	something about Seacrest, too, because despite his
22	personal strength and as good as he was, he also was
23	(inaudible) that day, admitted so in the court of
24	inquiry.

1	MR. STRAUCH: How did his behavior that
2	day differ from his professional behavior as you knew
3	it?
4	MR. WADDLE: Yeah, it did. And and I
5	think I think, unfortunately, the behavior of the
6	crew that day differed from the normal behavior of the
7	crew because we'd been in port less than a week, we'd
8	been gone for almost a whole month following the dry
9	dock (inaudible) restricted availability. We had
10	gone up to Katchican (ph), Ketchikan-Alaska for acoustic
11	trials and down to San Francisco for a four-day port
12	visit, and then back to Pearl. And we we had just
13	arrived that that previous weekend. A commodore had
14	met us on the pier, and we had this big engineering
15	exam, the operational reactor safeguard exam, coming
16	up. And I remember them saying my (inaudible)
17	saying, Scott, you know, we really expect the
18	Greenville to get an "excellent" on this inspection.
19	The Buffalo just got one. And I thought, Commodore,
20	what are you thinking? Because I looked over at at
21	Tony Cortez, the deputy he's the guy that took
22	that filled in as the interim captain when I was fired
23	I looked at him, I said, you know, on a good day
24	we're going to be "average." And if we're really good

- on that day, we'll be "above average," but "excellent,"
- 2 I don't see it. Well, hey, then you know what, you get
- 3 underway on Friday for this DV cruise, you stay out
- 4 over the weekend, you can get at least another good
- 5 drill set in or two, and then you can work up the rest
- of next week and then pick up the inspection team. I
- 7 said, Commodore, no, I already promised my crew the
- 8 weekend off and one extra drill set's not going to make
- 9 us "excellent."
- 10 And so, you know, there -- because he didn't
- 11 know jack about my submarine other than what I told
- 12 him. He'd never been on board, that is going to sea on
- 13 my submarine, and almost -- see, he -- his change of
- 14 command was in August of 2000. The accident was in
- 15 February. He had been on board my submarine two times,
- once at the -- once in -- actually, both of them were
- in the naval shipyard dry docking period when we were
- in SRA because I -- I had -- Commodore, come down, my
- 19 crew needs to see you, you haven't been down yet.
- 20 Because he'd been trying to -- he was trying to resolve
- 21 problems on board the USS Los Angeles, which had a
- 22 severe manning shortage in leadership, and so all of
- 23 his efforts were focused on that.
- 24 And that's -- I'm not getting to -- your

1	question was, was it a typical day that day for
2	Seacrest and CohenCoen. The answer is, no, it wasn't.
3	It was unique. The crew was complacent, including me
4	to some degree, because we had a mission that day and
5	it was to take these VIPs out on a lazy Friday and it
6	was just another VP cruise, and that was wrong. That's
7	one of the root causes and root issues that should have
8	been addressed in the court of inquiry, is how even a
9	submarine crew when they're great or a ship or aircraft
10	crew, whatever, becomes so confident in their abilities
11	that they sometimes take for granted their expertise
12	and they become complacent. And that's what happened
13	on that day. It was unbelievable how, I guess,
14	complacency just set in, and that was not the norm.
15	That was not the way we did business.
16	And shame on me for getting wrapped up in the
17	tour and and not focusing on the big picture as much
18	as I should have. I thought I gave it the right
19	balance, but in hindsight, I didn't. Why? Because I
20	had a collision, you know.
21	But but back on this thing with Snead, he
22	had never been on board my submarine. The first time
23	he actually was on the submarine underway was after the
24	nersonal transfer the quests were off and he rode me

1	from Dry Dock 4 into the pier area and moor. And
2	that's not to say it's not his fault, but I'm just
3	telling you that because the Greenville was running
4	smoothly, the crew had such a great reputation, we had
5	performed admirably in the dry docking selection
6	restricted availability, Naval Reactors wasn't on our
7	butt, and they usually just have problems to deal with.
8	The ship was clean. Burroughs, who's the senior Naval
9	Reactors guy there, had praised our crew for the
10	cleanliness, the state, the way that we've done
11	business, no tag-out deficiencies. Unprecedented, the
12	fact that we didn't have any tag-out issues. You know,
13	that's a big deal. No critiques. Now, we reviewed
14	some minor things, but no work-stop critiques.
15	It was phenomenal how these guys had done
16	work, and that was considered status quo for the
17	Greenville and par the course. We were and the crew
18	was a damn fine organization. And so, the commodore,
19	I'm sure in his opinion, could afford to not look at us
20	despite my request to come down, please, just look at
21	us, let my men know you care. You know, come down and
22	look at no, I gotta look at the LA.
23	Then, the knife in the back was about three

and a half months after the incident, the commodore

- 1 coming down and telling the crew of the Greenville,
- 2 some day you guys'll be as good as the Los Angeles.
- 3 You know what, pack sand, pal. He had his head up his
- 4 rear end so far he couldn't see, forgetting where this
- 5 ship had just been and where it'd come from. But you
- 6 know what, such is the case when you fall from glory
- 7 and when you fall in a blaze of glory like our ship
- 8 did. People forget, you know, and the old saying of
- one "oh shit" rubs out a thousand "atta-boys," well,
- that was a big "oh shit" when that collision happened.
- 11 But that's -- that's -- that's what happened.
- 12 So, on that day, was the crew operating
- 13 differently? You bet. It was not what I would say was
- 14 the typical conduct of the Greenville on that day. My
- 15 navigator wasn't as actively involved in the events as
- 16 he could have been, providing oversight for the
- 17 geographic plot, the -- not the geo plot, but the --
- 18 the nav plot, the CEP. There were a number of
- 19 individuals there beside myself that could have looked
- 20 at the paper displays, the fire control displays, the
- 21 nav plots, and figured out that we hadn't been doing
- 22 what we should have probably been doing.
- 23 And I hate to say it, but a lot of times the
- 24 two guys that really kept it together were the exec and

- 1 myself, as it should have been. But you know, that's
- 2 why we were teachers. And so, if Jerry Pfeifer would
- 3 walk through the control room and see something that's
- 4 not right, he -- he'd fix it immediately. If I did the
- 5 same thing or saw a deficiency, I'd fix it immediately
- 6 as well. And so, that kind of tells you about the
- 7 command climate.
- 8 We -- we -- I say "we," I tried to foster the
- 9 best command climate that I could on the ship where
- 10 anybody could raise their hand if they had a question,
- 11 an issue, and wanted to challenge a decision, an order,
- or an operation or something that was going on if they
- 13 thought it was unsafe or if we were overlooking
- 14 something. It was part of the backup. But you know,
- 15 the court of inquiry jammed that up my rear end.
- 16 Safety efficiency backup. Well, you sure weren't safe
- 17 that day, were you, Captain? Efficient, well, you're
- 18 running behind because your meal ran long. Backup,
- 19 well, you removed yourself from that, too, didn't you?
- 20 You know what, I hate to say it, we weren't living by
- 21 those rules that day to the best of our ability. We
- 22 could have done better, but you can always say that
- 23 when you have an accident or an incident.
- 24 MR. STRAUCH: Well, part of the reason why

1 we're here is to give you a chance to help us focus on 2 the big picture. MR. WADDLE: Yeah. 3 MR. STRAUCH: So, what other things should 4 5 the court of inquiry have looked at? 6 MR. WADDLE: They should have looked at the 7 procedure itself. I think -- I'm going to say a couple 8 things. The fact that we had distinguished visitors on 9 board or how they got there was immaterial to the 10 incident. Completely unrelated. If they wanted to --11 if the Navy wanted to launch an investigation, it 12 should have been a separate investigation apart from 13 the court of inquiry that determined exactly how the distinguished visitors got on board that submarine. 14 15 The fact that they were there had -- had -- you can say 16 it had a bearing and a relevance because -- to the 17 incident because that was the only reason we went to 18 sea that day. 19 But if you really want to focus on the cause 20 of the accident, the procedure for conducting the emergency surfacing evolution is -- is one thing that 21 2.2 -- that warranted review because there was no --

have changed -- but there is a requirement because it's

there's no requirement to broach the ship -- it may

2.3

1 good seamanship to make sure that there's no one in and 2 around the area before you do this event because you don't want to hit them. I knew that. That's why I --3 I -- I thought I checked the area clear and then as I 5 went deep reversed course to go back to the same spot 6 that I had just checked. It makes sense. You don't go 7 shoot a gun in your backyard -- most people don't --8 unless you know that there's nothing back there. You 9 already checked, and you make sure it's clear before 10 you pull that trigger on a rifle. So, I think that that issue was overlooked. 11 12 I also mentioned that, in hindsight, if a 13 submarine is going to do an emergency surfacing evolution like that, then perhaps there ought to be a 14 15 designated spot or an area where an evolution like that 16 is performed or nautical charts are annotated for, you 17 know, that region or zone as being a submarine training 18 ground so that if surface ships transit through that, 19 then they're required to have their fathometers or 20 depth sounders on or whatever. But you know, we have a difficult enough time getting our own U.S. military 21 2.2 surface ships to follow their rule, so how on earth are 23 we going to get civilian ships to do that, too? 24 Complicated.

1	So, again, the responsibility falls on that
2	of the submarine crew to make sure that the surface is
3	clear before you perform an evolution. But I
4	personally would like to see that before an emergency
5	blow is done that the high look is carried out and I
6	I believe that maybe that's done now.
7	The court of inquiry dissected the event and
8	provided numerous charts, entered charts as evidence to
9	show what they believed we saw that day at sea. And
10	you know what, it's nice you can go back and
11	reconstruct everything you want, but there's nothing
12	like the real thing. There's nothing like being there
13	to know exactly what happened. And and I could have
14	Captain Tom Kyle take the stand and have a great blown-
15	up chart, four and a half feet by five feet wide or
16	whatever with big, fat dots showing bits of information
17	and and projected high bearing rates of contacts
18	that your sonar operator should see. Well, that's
19	great, but how long have you been in this office, right
20	now, sitting in this chair right now?
21	MR. STRAUCH: About an hour.
22	MR. WADDLE: Okay. An hour. Look at that
23	white wall a second, please. Tell me what
24	MR. STRAUCH: Okay.

1	MR. WADDLE: what is the what is the
2	photograph of behind you in the very center? What's in
3	that picture?
4	MR. STRAUCH: I don't know. I haven't looked
5	at it.
6	MR. WADDLE: Okay. Well, you've been in here
7	an hour. Why didn't you know that that was a dog? Why
8	did you miss that? You didn't see that was a dog?
9	Clearly that's in front of you. Can you not see that
10	picture? Do you get my point? That picture is less
11	than seven and a half feet away from you and I can see
12	it clearly here as a dog, but guess what, unless you
13	look for it, you're not going to see it.
14	And that's the point I'm trying to make here.
15	It's great that we've got reconstructed data and that
16	there's a sonar display, but unless that operator is so
17	keen and intense on looking at that trace, it's
18	possible he maybe didn't see it. And so, the 15 or 20
19	seconds' worth of data that was collected that was made
20	such a big deal, well, you should have seen that high
21	bearing rate trace, was missed. Now, you've been
22	sitting in this office for an hour and I would
23	certainly think that you would have at least looked
24	around this area and clearly seen that that picture

Т	there was a dog. Well, that's exactly now I felt
2	(End of Tape 1, Side A)
3	MR. ROTH-ROFFY: Okay. We're back on the
4	record.
5	MR. WADDLE: All right. And public record or
6	not, you know, there's a little bit of anger here in my
7	voice, but I also had to maintain composure during that
8	court of inquiry because I had a responsibility as a
9	captain. I've also had a year to reflect upon this
10	event, and I think that had this interview taken place
11	a year ago, some of my responses may have been a little
12	bit different. But I've had a chance to reflect very
13	clearly on a lot of these things.
14	So, the point is, is that on that day the
15	the cause of the accident was was because of a
16	number of events. Not not one singular thing, I
17	don't think, caused it. The fact that my asviduASVDU
18	(ph) was out of commission, and that was a very
19	important screen. If I'd had that up and operating on
20	the con, I would have realized I had, perhaps, three
21	contacts that day instead of the two that I had I
22	thought I had to the northwest and to the northeast.
23	And there are a lot of what-ifs, but when my executive
24	officer standing outside of sonar looked at me at the

1	point where I ordered the submarine to go to periscope
2	depth, Cohen Coen had done his sweeps and looked and
3	said, "No close contacts," I looked at the flat panel
4	display and saw no large silhouetted contacts. I
5	didn't see anything small. I didn't see any contacts.
6	Sonar reported no close contacts, the ESM operator
7	Carter who had I didn't know he had an under
8	instruction watch on the headphones, but yet he was
9	watching the display. Said ESM holds no close contacts
10	or threat contacts.
11	To me, there were no contacts that were
12	close. It it validated everything that I thought to
13	be true. Had I changed my thought process and said
14	approaching that periscope depth evolution from the
15	perspective of I know I have two contacts, I think
16	they're to the north, I think they're far away, and I
17	don't expect to see anything, had I approached it from
18	the perspective of I need to prove to myself that there
19	might be something close in that I can't hear, that
20	maybe we haven't seen, that I've missed and I
21	believe as Admiral Fargo has said, you know, at the 24-
22	month point in an individual's career, in a captain's
23	career, he develops that he or she develops that
24	sense of confidence that they know their ship, they

1	understand how to do their business, and that
2	confidence can sometimes result in bad decisions.
3	It's easy to say that my short abbreviated
4	search was a bad decision. That's so easy to say when
5	you've had a collision and people have died. But if
6	Jack I can't remember the guy's name who was sitting
7	in the helms chair had taken 20 more seconds to get
8	there and we'd continued to the left a course 346
9	instead of me ordering my rudder and midships and then
10	drifting to the left on an intercept course unbeknownst
11	to us that would ultimately collide my submarine with
12	the Ehime Maru, we wouldn't be sitting here having this
13	conversation and I would have said, my God, how did
14	how the hell did that happen? How did we not know that
15	that guy was there? And it would have been it would
16	have been unbelievable, to pass the stern of the Ehime
17	Maru or in front of it and see it go zipping by. Oh my
18	gosh, that would have been incredible. That would have
19	been one heck of a near-miss. And there are a lot of
20	people that have had near-misses and, by the grace of
21	God, situations.
22	But, you know, the the fact is, is that
23	that the asviduASVDU, if it had been fixed prior to
24	getting underway could have notentially corrected that

1	problem. My XO, if he had had perhaps a better
2	situational you know, better understanding of the
3	situation awareness, could have helped preclude it. If
4	my periscope search had been longer, if I'd taken the
5	submarine shallower, it could have stopped, perhaps,
6	the accident from occurring. There were a lot of what-
7	ifs, but I'll never know. I'll never know what the key
8	ones were. There were a lot of opportunities that
9	that could have changed the outcome had things been
10	different.
11	But, did the court of inquiry, getting back
12	to your original question, focus on the main thing? I
13	believe a lot of information that was collected tried
14	to pin the blame on one person and several persons, and
15	it was frustrating for the court to not be able to do
16	that with any clear clear choice of saying, "You,
17	Petty Officer So-and-So, because you failed to do this,
18	were the single cause of this incident. Or, you know,
19	"You, Seacrest, because you failed to recognize the
20	fire control system solution, computer-generated
21	solution, had a contact solution showing the Ehime Maru
22	had 2000 yards because you paged through it really
23	quickly and didn't see it, you were the cause." Or,
24	"You McGiveny hecause you as a sonar supervisor

- 1 didn't see whatever on your sonar display, the high 2 bearing rate trace which should have been there were the single cause." Or, "You, Executive Officer, 3 because you were there and didn't see it or you didn't 4 5 see the CEP not maintained correctly, " or, "You, 6 Cohen Coen, because you didn't order the ship to do the 7 appropriate target motion analysis, " or, "You, Captain, 8 because you rushed the evolution were the single 9 cause." It frustrated the court. 10 But what they continued to reflect back upon 11 was this perceived artificial sense of urgency, that I 12 rushed to get the submarine back on time. We'd already 13 missed our arrival time at Pappa Hotel. I knew that. Was I rushing Cohen Coen through the procedure? I chose 14 15 to not follow specific things out of my standing orders
- 18 control information, my sonar information, my ESM

because I deemed at that time them not to be necessary.

The contact solution, as I knew it based on the fire

19 reports, my own what I considered to be adequate

16

- 20 confirmation of a visual search, which I considered to
- 21 be adequate because I was well above the wave tops, I
- 22 could see clearly to the horizon. I -- I scanned
- 23 through the Ehime Maru, and how I missed it, to this
- 24 day, I still don't know. I thought everything was --

1	was adequate.
2	If you're driving down the highway and you
3	look in your rear view mirror and you don't see a car,
4	and you look to your left and you don't see one, and
5	you look to your right and you don't see one, you're or
6	a three-lane highway, and 15 seconds later you decide
7	to make a lane change, there are a lot of people in
8	this world that would not turn their right turn signal
9	indicator on and would just turn into the right lane.
10	But my gosh, if you happen to miss that vehicle coming
11	up from behind in a blur of speed, bam! How often have
12	you investigated those types of things, you know?
13	I kind of view this accident as being one of
14	those things where the Ehime Maru wasn't exactly
15	coming down at a blazing rate of speed. There were
16	just coincidental things that happened. She was on a
17	constant bearing decreasing range, a white hull against
18	a white haze with a narrow aspect, a quieted sound
19	propulsion system, state-of-the-art technology variable
20	speed propeller I think it was, with an upgraded,
21	state-of-the-art commercial radar that had minimum lead
22	and side lobes which, unlike the typical sloppy ship
23	that we see out there would tell us that we had a
24	strong ESM signal. The the arrival path of the

1 sonar acoustic signature of the ship was coming in 2 direct path instead of bottom-bounce, which is a traditional thing showing that I've got a close-to-3 4 board threat. 5 And those traditional things that we -- we 6 normally saw just weren't there, and that's what kills 7 It's that those -- those threat -- those 8 indicators, those things that say, hey, problem. 9 know, if the Ehime Maru had had her fathometer on, a 10 fish-finder, I would have said, what is this? 11 active intercept would have affected it. But she 12 didn't have her fathometer on. She didn't have her 13 fish-finder on. Gosh. Kills me, you know. 14 So, did the court of inquiry get to the root 15 cause of the accident? I believe they did, but I 16 believe that they clouded the issue with a lot of garbage that was unnecessary and -- and in some ways 17 18 irrelevant to the -- the facts. And I wish that they had focused on, really, the main thing of what was it 19 20 that the crew did, how could they have done things 21 better, and I -- in the short period of time, I believe 2.2 they did what they thought was best. Gosh knows,

preliminary inquiry, but his report was jaded by the

Admiral Griffis Griffiths (ph) sure did for his

23

1	fact that I had a disgruntled officer, my navigator,
2	who happened to be best friends with Cohen Coen and
3	didn't like seeing him in this limelight and felt that
4	I was responsible for getting Cohen Coen there. <u>Start</u>
5	<u>here</u>
6	And the previous week we'd done an exercise
7	with a trident which required us to track not track
8	it but provide target services. We were the rabbit.
9	And I had given some very clear instruction to my
10	officer of the deck so that how I wanted him to operate
11	the ship. And Sloane was saying, Captain, you know,
12	cut him some slack, don't don't tell him what to do,
13	let him grow, otherwise how are they going to develop?
14	And he Sloane was trying to help me there. But in
15	this case, I knew we was short we were short on time
16	for this exercise. We had to run these geometries with
17	some precision and it wasn't a time to train the crew.
18	This wasn't one of those fun times, let's go down hunt
19	down a merchant and and play war, you know. Target
20	of opportunity, whatever. This was a case where I
21	needed to make sure that we did something right. We
22	had to do this exercise and and move on.
23	And so, that's why Admiral Griffis Griffiths
24	walked away with the impression that I was very

1	controlling, that I gave orders from my stateroom. Of
2	course I did. I had an open mike that I listened to
3	everything. I had a flat panel display where I could
4	see what all the contacts were doing. I virtually had
5	everything in my state room that I had out in control.
6	And so, if I didn't like something, rather than get up
7	and walk out and say, Officer of the Deck, why are you
8	doing this, I could, "aaah," buzz him with the JX and
9	say, Officer of the Deck, talk to him privately on the
10	phone, what are you doing, is this what you're doing?
11	Now, the crew knew that that is, the
12	control room guys knew when that "aaah" buzz happened,
13	that's the captain calling and he's only going to call
14	the officer of the deck if he wants something. But my
15	conversation was at least private with him. And that
16	was, to me, a lot better than going out in front of the
17	control room and saying, Mr. CohenCoen, what are you
18	doing, turn left, don't turn right, that was dumb. I
19	could call him on the phone and say, Mike, what are you
20	thinking, guy's over here, this is where I want you to
21	go, you need to you need to do this thing.
22	So, the command climate was kind of a red
23	herring, too. But taken as a whole, you know, the MBT
24	blow, the nine out of 13 people on the watch bill,

1	taking the guys down to test depth, going greater than
2	25 naughts at this classified speed, the perception
3	that I had unqualified guys on watch, my rushing of the
4	OD, and this issue with command climate all helped
5	paint a picture about me and not a very good one. You
6	know, you may say this sounds like an alcoholic that's
7	in denial when everyone around him is telling him,
8	you're a boozer, you know, you're a drinker, you're an
9	alcoholic, you're drinking, there's something wrong
10	with you, you got a problem, and to have that alcoholic
11	say, no, no, I don't, you know, I'm okay, I'm right,
12	this isn't well, I don't want to give the impression
13	that I'm in denial and that on that day there weren't
14	things that went wrong. Gosh. A lot of things went
15	wrong, and it's unfortunate because nine people died
16	and we had a horrible collision and accident where loss
17	of life occurred. But that wasn't the way we did
18	business.
19	So, I'm not in denial because the ship ran
20	wonderfully. That doesn't mean to say that we didn't
21	have problems and we didn't have to improve on things
22	and change things and have constant oversight. But on
23	that day it was the complacency. The the thing
24	we're getting underway, take these visitors out to sea,

- 1 we're going to go show them how the submarine works,
- 2 and come back in. That was the only reason the
- 3 submarine went out to sea that day. No other reason.
- 4 But the reason I agreed to do it is because it was more
- 5 operational time. It was another reactor start-up and
- 6 shutdown for my nukes that needed the training. It
- 7 helped support that initiative with the upcoming
- 8 engineering inspection.
- 9 But on that day, there was only one reason
- 10 why my submarine got underway, and that was to take the
- 11 visitors to sea, which I found out during the court of
- 12 inquiry was indirect violation of the Secretary of the
- Navy instruction which said, thou shalt not go to sea.
- I also didn't know that Brandhuber had been told by
- 15 Kinetsnee Konetzni not to go to sea either, to stay in
- 16 port. But you know, Brandhuber wanted to go because
- 17 this was his son-in-law -- son-in-law's last time at
- 18 sea, and what a great engineer he was. Tyler Metter.
- 19 And the whole thing is sad. Whole thing is sad.
- 20 MR. STRAUCH: The next people we're going to
- see are going to be people in the chain of command.
- MR. WADDLE: Yeah.
- MR. STRAUCH: What kinds of questions do you
- 24 think we should ask them?

1	MR. WADDLE: Well, I sent you those questions
2	by e-mail.
3	MR. STRAUCH: Yeah. Do you have any more you
4	want to add to that?
5	MR. WADDLE: Well, you know, I I don't
6	know that there is anything else to ask them. But I
7	know that the Navy is not really fond of the NTSB
8	recommendation following the Houston collision that we
9	use active sonar to clear the baffled areas before we
10	go to periscope depth. Why? Because active sonar
11	stinks. It's difficult to pull a surface contact out
12	of background waves unless you've got quiet, you know,
13	sea states. And let's just again call the spade a
14	spade. Active sonar, operators are not necessary
15	you know, I seriously criticized for this because
16	active sonar men excuse me, sonar men are not
17	extremely proficient in the use of active.
18	Now, we know what the operating restrictions
19	are on tridents and we know that defense attack
20	submarines and their capability to use active sonar can
21	use it when it's deemed necessary, but the fact is, is
22	that primary means of, you know, getting to periscope
23	depth is by passive passive means. And and
24	that's what I've done my whole career, and I stand by

- 1 that decision. So, when this accident happened, and I 2 know that the NTSB following the Houston collision and maybe some others that said, we recommend, you know, 3 4 that you employ the use of active sonar, well, the 5 Navy's going to cringe, specifically submarine force, 6 when they -- if they see that recommendation come up 7 again. Why? Because I'll tell you what, guys, it's 8 like me telling you, why don't you honk your horn six 9 times before you do a lane change driving down the 10 highway? Now, do you really think that's going to help Now, you're smiling and smirking, but you and I 11 12 both know probably not. 13 What's your best sensor? Your eyes. a passive means of detection. Well, listening, our 14 15 ears, on a sonar is really the same way, too. So, I 16 seriously doubt that the process and procedures for 17 getting to periscope depth have changed. But I'll tell
- 19 guideline. A guideline. If the Navy was so adamant

you that the naval warfare publications are a

- 20 about having a submarine spend a minute and 45 seconds
- or three to five minutes on a leg before going to

- 22 periscope depth or -- or two five-minute legs here to
- 23 clear baffles, then put it in writing. If you're going
- 24 to jam that in my ear and tell me that as a captain I

- 1 can't deviate from that, then make it a policy.
- 2 MR. STRAUCH: Well, if we -- if we were to
- 3 ask you, was there any -- what policies in writing did
- 4 you violate that day --
- 5 MR. WADDLE: My own policies.
- 6 MR. STRAUCH: Could you point to any Navy
- 7 policies?
- MR. WADDLE: No, because there weren't any.
- 9 The naval warfare publications are guidelines.
- 10 Recommended guidelines. My commanding officer's
- 11 standing orders were orders to my crew from me saying
- 12 this is what I expect. And these are standard
- documents that are in common use by all captains on
- 14 virtually all ships. Why? Because Sub Pac has a
- 15 standard set of commanding officer standing orders that
- they provide to each crew and usually say adopt these
- or modify them to conform to your ship.
- 18 So -- I'm trying to find an example here and
- 19 I can't think of one readily that comes to mind. But I
- 20 -- I put in writing my CO standing orders, and if they
- 21 were my orders, then it's my prerogative to deviate
- from them when I deem it's appropriate to do so, and
- 23 that's what I did. And that's why -- that's another
- 24 thing I got jammed.

1	MR. STRAUCH: What are the rules about
2	adhering or not adhering to your own
3	MR. WADDLE: There aren't rules. I'm the
4	captain, I write the rules, I can change them. And
5	there may be a caveat in the standing orders that state
6	a time I don't know that there is, but at a time
7	one would think that if the captain sets the rules,
8	then he can determine when to invoke or when to modify
9	them.
10	MR. STRAUCH: And you've done it before,
11	presumably?
12	MR. WADDLE: Every captain has.
13	MR. STRAUCH: In the presence of people from
14	the squadron
15	MR. WADDLE: Any time.
16	MR. STRAUCH: And there's never you've
17	never encountered
18	MR. WADDLE: No.
19	MR. STRAUCH: criticism
20	MR. WADDLE: No. Never. Can you understand
21	that?
22	MR. STRAUCH: One of the publications that I
23	
24	MR. WADDLE: Now, let me tell you this. No

- 1 other person on board the submarine breaks my rules or
- 2 broke my rules unless they said, Captain, I want to
- deviate from this, and I'd say, permission granted.
- 4 You know, Captain, we want to keep the TDU muzzle ball
- 5 valve open at this depth, we know the recommendation is
- 6 that you don't do it shallower than this or deeper than
- 7 that, request permission to do that. I evaluate the
- 8 environment, is it okay to do this, does it first make
- 9 sense to do so? If it does and it's not going to be
- 10 contrary to my safety, efficiency, or backup, then,
- 11 okay, permission granted for this one-time exception.
- 12 Move on.
- 13 MR. STRAUCH: After the accident, we talked
- 14 to both Coen and Pfeifer.
- MR. WADDLE: Mm-hmm.
- 16 MR. STRAUCH: Cohen brought up two
- 17 incidents. He cited one in San Francisco and another
- 18 one in --
- 19 MR. WADDLE: Where water came down the hatch?
- MR. STRAUCH: Yeah.
- MR. WADDLE: Yeah.
- MR. STRAUCH: The other one where he said
- 23 that you had done an emergency blow in -- to stay
- 24 within your operational area --

1	MR. WADDLE: Oh, right. Yeah.
2	MR. STRAUCH: Are you familiar with those two
3	incidents?
4	MR. WADDLE: I didn't do an emergency blow.
5	Let's see. Did we? Yeah, we did. We did do an
6	emergency blow.
7	MR. STRAUCH: Are you familiar with the two
8	incidents?
9	MR. WADDLE: Oh, I sure am. And and in
10	that particular case, it's another example. This was -
11	- the submarine was involved in an exercise where we
12	were we were to be north of a Pacific latitude at
13	at midnight. The XO and I were back aft in the engine
14	room monitoring an engineering drill. The drill had
15	taken, maybe, about an hour and 15 minutes or so. It
16	was late in the evening. And after we recovered the
17	propulsion plan and were starting to head north,
18	ironically, the navigator was on watch. This was Keith
19	Sloane. And he was the officer of the deck. And we
20	had not yet approved the chart for that we had not
21	approved the next chart, you know, for the time period
22	after midnight.
23	So, the executive officer went forward. I
24	stayed back aft to talk to the engineer about some

- 1 things. And then, about a half an hour -- about 11:30
- 2 at night, I walked up to the control room, and I saw
- 3 the executive officer standing over the nav plot kind
- 4 of doing this, looking at the -- the chart, looking at
- 5 the clock, or -- or repeated -- told us what our
- 6 latitude and longitude was, looking at the chart,
- 7 looking at that, rubbing his brow, shaking his head.
- 8 He said, we need to go faster. What's the matter? He
- 9 said, we're too far south, we're not going to make it.
- 10 Captain Cortez, the deputy on board at that time, he
- 11 was sleeping on the XO's stateroom on the bottom bunk.
- 12 He said, what's the matter? He said, we're -- time-
- distance-wise, we've got to go -- we've got to go
- 14 flank, we've got to go fast right now. So, told the
- officer of the deck, you know, we're not going to make
- it to this boundary, you need to order a head flank
- 17 now, start heading north.
- So, ordered head flank, increasing speed.
- 19 Request permission to exceed the submerged operating
- 20 envelope. Well, that's one of the cases where the
- 21 captain can grant that permission, and I did.
- 22 Permission granted. I looked at it and I said, you
- know, we're going this speed, we need to go this far,
- 24 we have to be this far north of that latitude where our

1 expansion -- fixed area of uncertainty where we operate 2 has to be clear by a buffer, we're not going to make What time is it? And we had less than, I think, 3 4 10 minutes or so, maybe eight minutes to -- to get to 5 the surface. Otherwise, we'd be what's called out of 6 area, in water that we didn't know, submerged, and a 7 potential collision with someone else we didn't know. 8 So, I -- I walked to con and I said, 9 Navigator, I relieve you of the deck and the con. 10 said, I stand relieved. Said, you know, Diving 11 Officer, rig ship for large rotor angles. Helm, all 12 ahead -- I think we slowed, I can't remember, came 13 shallow, and I told sonar to prepare to conduct a baffle clear maneuver to the right. And so, at a high 14 15 speed we -- we came up to the normal depth that we 16 clear baffles, slowed the ship to 15 naughts, and then 17 started this turn. And as we steadied after we cleared 18 our -- our baffles at 120 degrees, I gave the order, 19 all stations prepare to proceed to periscope depth and 20 said, you know, to the diving officer -- can't remember if he said, ship conducting a 10-second emergency blow, 21 2.2 but we were actually ticking down the seconds, and we 23 were, like, two minutes now, maybe even a minute away 24 from midnight. And I said, Officer of the Deck -- I

1	said, Diving Officer or Chief of the Watch, initiate a
2	10-second emergency blow, and so we did a 10-second
3	emergency blow and the ship broached right to the
4	surface right at midnight and we were on the surface,
5	turned and headed north.
6	And the problem there is I had the navigator
7	who was on watch who didn't know where the ship was.
8	My assistant navigator was he may have been the
9	quartermaster of the watch. The chief is now a senior
10	chief on the Sub Pac staff. If you need more details
11	about that, you can talk to him, and I forgot his last
12	name. But I then had to go in and tell Captain Cortez,
13	we almost had an out-of-area incident because of this
14	situation where the chart wasn't, you know, reviewed in
15	time and my officer of the deck forgot his
16	requirements. And that's that story.
17	The other one you said that Cohen Coen talked
18	about was, oh, water coming the court of inquiry
19	addressed that, too, but then they they said it's
20	irrelevant. There's a place west of the Golden Gate
21	Bridge called the Potato Patch where waves you get
22	some of these real waves that come in. And we were
2.3	motoring through and I had Tyler Metter, my officer of

the deck, on the bridge. I was trying to get him down

1	quickly because I saw these large waves and we were
2	going to we were taking water and spray over the top
3	of the sail. And it's customary that submarines have
4	their bridges rigged for dive before going under the
5	Golden Gate Bridge, and it just took Metter longer than
6	normal. And we took about 400 gallons of water down
7	that bridge hatch, and that's that's what Cohen Coen
8	was referring to.
9	So, the transit back from San Francisco to
10	Pearl Harbor, we spent time chasing down grounds on
11	some of our electrical systems and doing a lot of
12	cleanup work salt deposits had entered a lot of
13	gear. But again, two unrelated things.
14	But his perhaps CohenCoen's I would
15	I would question his discussion to try to bring those
16	in unless it was to say, well, here's two other things
17	that happened. I could tell you more and say I was
18	getting ready to moor the submarine and I had a
19	lieutenant named Matt Dragg off the USS it was Willy
20	Helardes's submarine. I forgot his command that he
21	had, the boat's name escaped me right now. It doesn't
22	matter.
23	But we were coming in and a pilot is pushing

me at a diagonal, parallel to the pier but kind of at a

1	at a diagonal angle coming back, and I've got the
2	Cheyenne parked dead astern of me pointing the other
3	way, and the pilot almost ran my my submarine, my
4	stern into that boat's stern. If I hadn't ordered
5	ahead two-thirds and had a that operated quickly, we
6	would have had a collision two submarines, one parked,
7	one trying to park, and taken two 688-Is out. You
8	know, it would have been ridiculous. But again, that's
9	part of there by the grace of God go I. The near-miss,
10	the the actions that individuals take.
11	Countless other events I could tell you that
12	have occurred, you know, throughout my career. But why
13	those would have some bearing or relevance to
14	Cohen Coen's testimony to the NTSB, I don't know other
15	than to say that I don't know what he was trying to
16	discuss there.
17	MR. STRAUCH: What about the incidents that
18	happened to the Greenville after after you were
19	relieved of command?
20	MR. WADDLE: My opinion is, is that the Navy
21	made a bad decision, and that decision was to not send
22	me back to command because of whatever political
23	pressures may have existed. The Ogden captain has
24	subsequently been relieved. Did you know that? That

- 1 was in the press -- that was the press a couple days 2 ago. So, Dave Bogden was relieved because the 3 4 submarine bottomed off of Saipan. Again, what's the 5 common denominator there? Keith Sloane, the navigator. 6 Didn't have the right chart, had done an inadequate 7 preparation review, and same thing with Jerry Pfeifer. 8 And I hate to say it, but you know, those two guys --9 Pfeifer knew his career was over, Sloane probably 10 thought, hey, I'm screwed too, you know, I've got this 11 Greenville name associated with me, kind of like 12 probably every former employee that's worked for Enron 13 that puts Enron on their resume. Kind of look at that as a scarlet letter. 14 15 But the fact is, is that Bogden, new in 16 command, had not had the time to foster what I consider 17 to be a solid working relationship with his crew and 18 was forced to take a boat out in deployment. I believe it was a bad decision. And the Navy -- I can tell you 19 20 it's a bad decision because look what happened, so.
- 21 MR. STRAUCH: Who made that decision?
- MR. WADDLE: I can't tell you.
- MR. STRAUCH: Would it have been a squadron-
- 24 level --

1	MR. WADDLE: No, no, much higher. The fact
2	that an officer is fired has made it the Sub Pac level.
3	But but that admiral and that was
4	Kinetsnee Konetzni at the time didn't make that
5	decision without discussing this with the other senior
6	leadership, that is probably Admiral Gibastiani (ph),
7	who is at Sub Lant, or Admiral Skip Bowman, who is the
8	head of Naval Reactors, Department of Energy. I'm sure
9	that as a courtesy call they he called the admiral
10	to say, this is what's happening. And because of my
11	collision, both of those guys would have fully
12	understood. You don't have a collision and kill nine
13	people and not fire the captain.
14	But that's in today's Asian environment. So,
15	Bogden with his bottoming off of Saipan and, sure, he's
16	at fault because in his check he should have recognized
17	that they didn't have the most up-to-date chart. A lot
18	of people should have caught it. The assistant
19	navigator should have, the first of all, the
20	executive officer in his review should have found it.
21	The navigator should have found it in his review. The
22	assistant navigator as well, including the
23	quartermaster that prepared the chart. There are at
24	least, you know, five people there that could have

1	precluded that event from happening.
2	But then, this next event where the submarine
3	had a collision with the amphibious ship Ogden in the
4	Persian Gulf, I wasn't there, I don't know the details,
5	I haven't talked to anybody, but I was told that the
6	Ogden and the story I was told kind of makes sense
7	because the Ogden's CO was fired that the Ogden was
8	supposed to take station on the Greenville. Well, if
9	the seas were rough and the Ogden was going to provide
10	a lead for the Greenville and I'm only speculating
11	because I don't know the information. Somehow the
12	stern of the Greenville managed to slip underneath that
13	of the Ogden, come up underneath, and the vertical
14	stabilizer, kind of like a vertical fin on the end of a
15	airplane wing on some of our larger jets, impacted the
16	under underbelly of the Ogden and punched an eight-
17	inch by 15-inch hole, or however big it was, and
18	spilled thousands of gallons of fuel into the ocean.
19	So the Ogden CO gets fired. Sad story, you know.
20	I guarantee you there were a lot of people
21	that were up there that that could have seen that
22	happening. The captain of the Greenville could have
23	had his outboard operating and pointed in the opposite
24	direction, which could have turned his stern away. But

- 1 then again, with wind blowing and sea state and a lot
- of things, and not knowing what happened, you know,
- 3 that outboard could have been ineffective. But another
- 4 sad story.
- 5 So, that's two careers of two commanding
- officers, one surface, one submariner, that were
- 7 destroyed because of that. And the questionable
- 8 outcome of the guy that's serving in command right now
- 9 as well, but you know what? That's too bad. That's --
- 10 that's another sad story.
- 11 MR. STRAUCH: There have been discussions,
- and this came up in the court of inquiry, about the two
- 13 cultures in submarines, the -- the reaction culture
- 14 versus the operational culture.
- MR. WADDLE: We didn't have a split. No,
- 16 everybody -- that didn't exist in our crew. The --
- 17 they're called nukes and coners. You know, coners are
- 18 these guys up fore or engineering guys back aft, and we
- 19 didn't have fore-aft split. We worked together as a
- 20 team. The guys worked very well.
- 21 MR. STRAUCH: Your background was reactors?
- MR. WADDLE: Engineering, yeah. All -- the
- 23 majority of every -- every captain has specialty
- 24 background in engineering because it's drilled in us.

- 1 We're -- we're qualified as engineers first. You go
- 2 through the engineering training pipeline first before
- 3 you go to command -- not command, but your initial tour
- 4 as a junior officer. You go through six months of
- 5 intense curriculum training. That's six months of a
- 6 prototype operation and three months of sub school, and
- 7 then you go to your first boat. You qualify usually in
- 8 engineering first before you move forward to qualify as
- 9 an officer of the deck.
- 10 So, the emphasis is primarily on engineering,
- 11 and I'll tell you that most guys don't really learn how
- 12 to drive the ship until the latter part of their junior
- officer tour, or more importantly, until they get to
- 14 become department heads. And that's true for me and
- that's the same for virtually every other officer
- 16 that's out there.
- 17 MR. STRAUCH: One of the articles that we
- 18 read from the Naval Institute proceeding --
- MR. WADDLE: Stop a second.
- 20 (Pause)
- 21 MR. ROTH-ROFFY: Okay. After a brief break,
- 22 we're back on the record.
- MR. STRAUCH: Do you want to take a break or
- 24 anything?

1	MR. WADDLE: No. We just took one.
2	MR. STRAUCH: Okay. You're all set?
3	MR. ROTH-ROFFY: Barry, it's my recollection
4	you your last question involved the Naval Institute
5	proceedings
6	MR. STRAUCH: Yeah.
7	MR. ROTH-ROFFY: article.
8	MR. STRAUCH: Have you read any of the
9	articles in the
10	MR. WADDLE: I don't read anything. I don't
11	read "Navy Times," "Naval Institute Proceedings." I
12	don't care to read anything. But if you've got an
13	article, I'll gladly scan it and review it.
14	MR. STRAUCH: Okay. Well, this is from the
15	July 2001 issue, written by Paul Troy Wright.
16	MR. WADDLE: Sure.
17	MR. STRAUCH: And he said that, "A review of
18	Commander Waddle's career path suggests that there has
19	been no end to and perhaps there has been even been
20	an escalation of a culture war that raged within the
21	submarine force since before my time, almost two
22	decades ago, that clash between those who believe that
23	a submarine officer's operational competence is the
24	foundation of his professional skills and others who

- 1 believe that the foundation is engineering competence."
- 2 And he goes on to say that your -- according
- 3 to your official biography, your first sea tour was
- 4 with a pre-commissioning unit of the Alabama --
- 5 MR. WADDLE: I know where you're getting to
- 6 this. He's -- he's questioning the amount of time that
- 7 I had at sea and whether or not I was fully qualified
- 8 to operate the ship.
- 9 MR. STRAUCH: Yeah.
- 10 MR. WADDLE: Yeah, I was fully qualified. I
- 11 wouldn't have been in command if I wasn't. There's no
- 12 foundation for that. But I'll tell you that -- I don't
- 13 know who this guy is. What's his retired rank? I'm
- 14 not sure what makes him --
- 15 MR. ROTH-ROFFY: Should be at the bottom of
- 16 the --
- MR. WADDLE: It'll be in the back of the
- 18 article.
- 19 MR. STRAUCH: He didn't retire. He was --
- 20 let me see --
- 21 (Pause)
- MR. WADDLE: Okay. By Paul Troy Wright.
- 23 Wright graduated from the Naval Academy in '79. He
- 24 served on Fast Attack Submarine Ray from April through

- 1 '84. After leaving the Navy -- he -- he's practiced
- 2 law for 15 years. Well, you know what? Mr. Wright,
- 3 you're no expert.
- 4 (Laughter)
- 5 MR. WADDLE: You have five years in the Navy
- and you don't know jack and you don't know shit and
- 7 together, you don't know jack shit, so. You know,
- 8 that's just -- that's my response.
- 9 MRS. WADDLE: But he got his article printed.
- 10 MR. WADDLE: But he got his article printed,
- 11 but he brings up what I consider to be a valid point,
- and that point is, is that, as I alluded to earlier,
- 13 that officers are trained to be engineers first and
- 14 tacticians second. And if you look at the Royal Navy,
- 15 they have -- professional engineers and then they have
- 16 professional tacticians. They have those that dedicate
- 17 their lives to being in engineering and those that
- dedicate their lives to be the ship drivers, operation
- 19 guys up forward. Does that warrant a review of our
- 20 cultural change? It could.
- But the bottom line is, is that you do your
- 22 time back aft so you understand what's happening in the
- 23 engine room. You come forward and then you learn how
- 24 to drive the ship, and you drive the ship forward as an

1 officer of the deck. So then, when the engineer calls 2 forward with a casualty report, you understand full well what's happening back in that engine room, or at 3 least you should. That's -- that's not to say that, 4 5 you know, we've got officers that come from ballistic missile submarines to fast attack submarines and they 6 7 don't fully understand the -- the intricacies of the 8 plant back aft as well as a junior officer who 9 qualified as engineering officer on his first boat, and 10 there's no question. Now, maybe the Navy needs to -- needs to 11 12 review perhaps our practices of -- of keeping, you 13 know, those that -- I don't know. That's irrelevant to this. But the fact is, is that, again, the Navy's 14 15 responsible for ensuring that men are properly trained 16 and have the skill sets required to operate the ships. And as such, I was fully qualified and fully capable 17 18 of operating the Greenville. I understood how to 19 operate it tactically and could drive the boat, so. 20 Paul Troy Wright here states that my career was founded on engineering excellence and competence. 21 2.2 That's true. I excelled in the engineering area. And

I also was a good ship driver. On that day, I wasn't a

23

24

good ship driver.

1	So, there's not a lot of supporting
2	information here on his article, but I understand where
3	you're going with it. And I would say that, certainly,
4	the Navy needs to make sure that the best qualified men
5	are assigned to submarines that need the help the most.
6	And right now, the Greenville needs a strong captain
7	that can take care of its people, that is also
8	tactically savvy and engineering strong, and can
9	rebuild that organization because right now morale is
10	about is deep in the dirt as you can get with the
11	commanding officer that's serving that doesn't trust
12	his crew and a crew that doesn't trust him.
13	And that's the corporate culture right now.
14	Why? Because guys that serve on the ship right now
15	have called me to share that information with me. And
16	they just got back from deployment, a seven-month
17	deployment, a week or so ago. And I still talk to the
18	men on the ship, but unfortunately, you know, I'm not
19	there. I'm not part of that anymore. I can't fix
20	that. It's not my problem now. But I still have an
21	allegiance to the men that I served with that I
22	consider to be an extension of my family that I will
23	help in any way that I possibly can.
24	Anything else you wanted to ask about this?

1 MR. STRAUCH: Not about this, but -- another 2 article. MR. WADDLE: Sure. 3 4 MR. STRAUCH: John Byron -- signed Captain 5 John Byron, Retired, so he --6 MR. WADDLE: Okay. 7 MR. STRAUCH: -- he was a captain. 8 MR. WADDLE: Right. 9 MR. STRAUCH: And I'd ask --10 MR. WADDLE: Former submariner? MR. STRAUCH: Yes. 11 12 MR. WADDLE: Okay. 13 MR. STRAUCH: He retired, I think, in '93. 14 MR. WADDLE: All right. 15 MR. STRAUCH: Not on -- (inaudible) -- he 16 didn't serve on --MR. ROTH-ROFFY: Mostly diesel boats. 17 18 MR. WADDLE: Well, he would have -- all 19 right. 20 MR. ROTH-ROFFY: I played with his -- his 21 background. 2.2 MR. WADDLE: What's your question there? MR. STRAUCH: Well, what he -- what he argues 23

EXECUTIVE COURT REPORTERS, INC. (301) 565-0064

is that the home port squadron commander has it in his

1 best interest to certify one of his squadron's vessels 2 as okay even though it may not be and that he may not necessarily give it the kind of oversight that he --3 4 that it should get because it may make him look bad if 5 he were to --6 MR. WADDLE: Not give them a passing mark? 7 MR. STRAUCH: Yeah. Is that --8 MR. WADDLE: Okay. 9 MR. STRAUCH: -- do you think that's a fair 10 assessment of what Byron said? 11 MR. ROTH-ROFFY: Yes. 12 MR. WADDLE: That's -- that's not uncommon. 13 MR. STRAUCH: Is there any way that -- that 14 15 MR. WADDLE: Do you think INS would want to 16 make a report to the president and say that our 17 administrative process internally is not very good and we're able to issue visas -- student visas to al Qaeda 18 19 members or known terrorists? I bet they sure wish that 20 they had done that before it became public knowledge yesterday and had the president read it on the front 21 2.2 page of the paper. 23 But I'd say that, you know, there's things

that you want to fix internally, and as a captain, I

1	was no different. If I had a problem on my ship, I
2	would and I found it, I'd say, oh my goodness, go
3	fix that. But see, I was different. I'd pick up the
4	phone and call my squadron commander and say, hey,
5	Commodore, I just found this, we had this problem, it's
6	fixed, I want you to know it's fixed, but I want you to
7	know that we had a problem and this is what I found.
8	And why would I do that? So he could share that
9	information with my peers and the squadron and make
10	sure that those same types of issues didn't exist.
11	Now, this particular individual, "Are
12	Subcommanders Scapegoats?", the home port submarine
13	squadron I'm quoting from a paragraph on the second
14	of five pages, "The home port submarine squadron is the
15	other primary player in these sad dramas at sea. With
16	a better approach to the squadron's role in training
17	and testing deploying ships, the Navy can find the
18	solutions that have been missed and end the carnage in
19	a wardroom such as the Greenville."
20	Now, was he inferring that I had a poor
21	command climate?
22	MR. STRAUCH: No.
2.3	MR. ROTH-ROFFY: No. I believe the inference

was that the squadron was not really overseeing the

- 1 vessel properly and was not actively involved in -- in
- 2 the oversight of the tactical or the operational
- 3 aspects.
- 4 MR. WADDLE: Well, I could say that that was
- 5 true. And then, I could also say that to some extent,
- 6 you know, Sub Pac had the same problem. Otherwise, the
- 7 USS Los Angeles wouldn't have fired -- they wouldn't
- 8 have had their captain, Mark Jenkins, fired almost a
- 9 year before or half -- six months I guess it was before
- 10 my incident because he took like 22 guys to captain's
- 11 mast. The problem there was that the -- that
- submarine, the Los Angeles, didn't have the adequate
- 13 senior enlisted leadership that it needed. It didn't
- 14 have the chief petty officers in place to help run the
- submarine, and they were relying upon first class petty
- 16 officers and others to carry the load. And then the
- 17 captain, I believe, you know, could have done business
- 18 a little bit different and been more of a coach and a
- 19 teacher instead of a tyrant.
- 20 But I know Mark Jenkins. He's a close
- 21 personal friend of mine. I'm sure he was frustrated at
- the fact that his men were doing some stupid things,
- and so he did what he thought was best. And that is,
- take them before captain's mast and fine them, punish

- 1 them, reduce them in rank, whatever.
- In this case, I made it clear on the other
- 3 side of your tape here that my squadron commander,
- 4 Captain Rich Snead, had spent no time on board my sub
- 5 in his -- in his short months as commander of Submarine
- 6 Squadron One. Why? Because he felt it wasn't
- 7 necessary. I was keeping him apprised of my -- my
- 8 progress. I met with him in private. I talked to him
- 9 openly about my problems. I didn't hide anything. He
- didn't think there was any reason for me to hide
- 11 anything. He trusted everything I told him. I just
- wanted him down on the ship so my crew could see him.
- 13 Now, his deputies were there and they knew
- 14 how the Greenville did business. And the bottom line
- was, if someone had something that was screwed up on
- their ship, whether it was inability to clean something
- 17 or they needed instruction notice or procedure, go to
- the Greenville and get it because they know how to do
- 19 it and they do it right.
- So, it's always good to be on top, to be the
- 21 -- the lead dog. But Lord help you when something goes
- wrong because, you know, then that spotlight gets back
- on top of you real quick. And that's what happened to
- 24 us. Spotlight shifted on us, on the Greenville that

- 1 day because of a horrible, tragic accident. But had we
- 2 come to -- had we come back into port as scheduled
- 3 without that event occurring, the civilians would have
- 4 gone off, my wife and I would have had a wonderful
- 5 romantic dinner as we'd planned that night, we would
- 6 have enjoyed the weekend, passed our engineering
- 7 inspection. I would have completed my command tour
- 8 more than likely and moved on.
- 9 MRS. WADDLE: You'd still be in Hawaii.
- 10 MR. WADDLE: Still be in Hawaii. But you
- 11 know, the -- the article here talks about -- I haven't
- 12 had the chance to read it. Maybe we can take a break
- 13 and I can scan through it, but it -- it looks like it
- 14 highlights the issue of the submarine trying to get
- into Saipan here where it had the grounding. And it
- 16 has a little bit more detail -- I can't speak about
- 17 this article, but --
- 18 MR. STRAUCH: Did you want to take a break?
- MR. ROTH-ROFFY: Let's go ahead and just take
- 20 a couple-minute break.
- MR. WADDLE: -- yeah.
- 22 (Pause)
- MR. ROTH-ROFFY: Okay. We're back on the
- 24 record after a brief break.

1	MR. STRAUCH: Could you comment on it, on his
2	points?
3	MR. WADDLE: Right. We're reviewing or,
4	I'm commenting on the article written by Captain John
5	Byron, U.S. Navy retired, "Are Subcommanders
6	Scapegoats?", in that and this is a this is a
7	good question to pose for the men that you're about to
8	interview next week, and I'll I'll let them answer
9	it. But I would certainly say that it's true I will
10	confirm that it's true that the squadron staffs are
11	burdened with the requirement to provide oversight for
12	the material readiness of the of the vessels. And
13	too often, they don't get the time or the focus on the
14	important issues of tactics and operational readiness.
15	You know, each person has his his own agenda, his -
16	- you know, the engineers have to focus on engineering,
17	the operations guys have to focus on ops. That makes
18	sense. But the engineering guys also are there to
19	focus on maintenance material concerns. And with the
20	too few number of squadron deputies that exist where
21	there's maybe one or two, it and there's, maybe,
22	five or six submarines in the squadron, and one deputy
23	is out riding a submarine for for training and
24	proficiency and evaluation, that doesn't leave much

- 1 back on the staff to help you. So I'd say the staffs
- 2 are undermanned. That's a significant issue and
- 3 concern.
- 4 The staffs are so undermanned to the point
- 5 where they can't do their jobs effectively. It isn't
- 6 helping all those boats in their squadron. Others
- 7 could debate that, but that's open for discussion.
- 8 That's one man's opinion.
- 9 But this issue of accountability I think is
- interesting, too. Why did I stand tall and say that
- 11 I'm accountable, I'm responsible for my actions,
- 12 because I was the captain. I didn't see anybody else
- senior to me standing tall taking any kind of
- 14 responsibility or accountability for their actions.
- But I know that when I did, when I stood as captain to
- say I'm responsible, I'm accountable, my men fell in
- 17 behind me. Not -- not a one denied his responsibility
- or his shortcomings that ever took the stand, including
- 19 Patrick Seacrest, fire control technician. Mike
- 20 CohenCoen read a statement. Jerry Pfeifer submitted a
- 21 written statement. You know, they could have done it a
- 22 little bit more, but they did what they thought they
- 23 had to do, just like I did what I thought I had to do.
- 24 MR. STRAUCH: Who above you should have --

1	(End of Tape 1, Side B)
2	MR. ROTH-ROFFY: Okay. This is resuming the
3	interview. We're on Tape 2.
4	Barry, could you restate that question?
5	MR. STRAUCH: The question was I asked
6	Scott Waddle who above him would he have liked to have
7	seen stand tall, and the answer was that that would be
8	a good question for
9	MR. WADDLE: The commodores. And but I
10	think that it's kind of obvious. Dave Warner, who took
11	the stand as the public affairs officer, frustrated the
12	court of inquiry because he could never answer a
13	question with a straight answer. He made Admiral
14	(inaudible) mad. But I would have liked to have
15	seen Admiral Kinetsnee Konetzni stand tall and say that,
16	you know, I'm responsible to some degree for the for
17	having the civilians there, for my commodore saying,
18	yeah, I was accountable, I was responsible for allowing
19	the submarine to get underway with no other purpose
20	than just a DV cruise. But you know, at the time I
21	don't think anybody really knew about that Secretary of
22	the Navy instruction that said, you will not get
23	underway for the sole purpose of taking visitors to
24	sea.

1	MR. STRAUCH: Had that ever happened before?
2	MR. WADDLE: Sure. Lots of times.
3	MR. STRAUCH: Taking DVs
4	MR. WADDLE: Yeah.
5	MR. STRAUCH: on board exclusively for the
6	purpose
7	MR. WADDLE: Sure.
8	MR. STRAUCH: of a cruise?
9	MR. WADDLE: And I'd volunteered to do so
10	because, again, as I said earlier, I knew that it was a
11	reactor start-up and a shutdown, it was a training
12	evolution for my crew. Any time I could get my ship to
13	sea, I would do so.
13 14	sea, I would do so. MR. STRAUCH: You mentioned Admiral
14	MR. STRAUCH: You mentioned Admiral
14 15	MR. STRAUCH: You mentioned Admiral Kinetsnee Konetzni and the public affairs officer.
14 15 16	MR. STRAUCH: You mentioned Admiral <u>Kinetsnee Konetzni</u> and the public affairs officer. Anybody else?
14 15 16 17	MR. STRAUCH: You mentioned Admiral KinetsneeKonetzni and the public affairs officer. Anybody else? MR. WADDLE: I said the squadron commander,
14 15 16 17 18	MR. STRAUCH: You mentioned Admiral KinetsneeKonetzni and the public affairs officer. Anybody else? MR. WADDLE: I said the squadron commander, you know, Rich Snead, who was there at the time.
14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. STRAUCH: You mentioned Admiral KinetsneeKonetzni and the public affairs officer. Anybody else? MR. WADDLE: I said the squadron commander, you know, Rich Snead, who was there at the time. MR. STRAUCH: And why should he have what
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. STRAUCH: You mentioned Admiral KinetsneeKonetzni and the public affairs officer. Anybody else? MR. WADDLE: I said the squadron commander, you know, Rich Snead, who was there at the time. MR. STRAUCH: And why should he have what should he have acknowledged?
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. STRAUCH: You mentioned Admiral KinetsneeKonetzni and the public affairs officer. Anybody else? MR. WADDLE: I said the squadron commander, you know, Rich Snead, who was there at the time. MR. STRAUCH: And why should he have what should he have acknowledged? MR. WADDLE: Well, I mean, where was my

- Kinetsnee Konetzni's staff, certainly was trying to pin 1 2 the blame on the squadron commander saying that it was their fault, they were the ones that allowed this to 3 4 happen, and they should have provided the oversight to 5 keep the Greenville from going to sea. Come on. 6 Someone should have said, the reason the submarine went 7 to sea is because we wanted to get these guests out 8 there. 9 And Rowena Rowe, who's in -- the civilian at 10 the public affairs office, was the one that picked up 11 the phone and made the call and said, Commander Waddle, 12 will you guys -- will you do this, can you do this? 13 Sure, Rowe, I can, you know I can, I love doing this, I love taking guys to sea. I did. It's a thrill to take 14 15 quests to sea. I see the excitement in their eyes. 16 was really cool. It was a great thing. Still is, and 17 I still believe it's an important part of our business. But unfortunately, taking guests to sea has become --18 has begun kind of -- become kind of a marketing 19 20 initiative because we've got to tell our story, tell 21 our tale. Doggone it, you know, we're warships and
- 24 are required to buy more.

2.2

23

perhaps the Navy needs to find better lobbyists to get

before Congress and what not to raise the funds that

1	But I can remember talking to Captain Snead
2	one day when he actually came to visit, one of the two
3	times, at the shipyard. And I said, what's our
4	mission, what do I tell our guys, you know, what our
5	mission is. Scott, the mission right today is to
6	survive. Protect these national treasures and survive.
7	Survival meant not hitting your ship, not damaging it,
8	not losing money. It kills me to know that \$100
9	million was or however much money was spent in the
10	recovery efforts of the Ehime Maru when that money
11	could have been directed towards improving the quality
12	of life of sailors and men and women in uniform or some
13	other beneficial thing.
14	MR. STRAUCH: You said that this was a
15	warship. How would that play a part in the DV cruises?
16	MR. WADDLE: A warship?
17	MR. STRAUCH: Yeah. This was a warship, and
18	I guess the implication therefore is, was there
19	anything inappropriate about using a warship to conduct
20	DV cruises for what are essentially marketing tours?
21	MR. WADDLE: No different than putting people
22	flying people to carriers and letting them watch
23	aircraft flight operations or putting a reporter in the
24	back seat of a F14 Tomcat As you and I know.

- 1 television celebrities that -- or newscasters that get 2 in the back of jets and break the sound barrier, and they get that -- it's -- it's PR. It's exposure. 3 4 MRS. WADDLE: Movies. 5 MR. WADDLE: Movies like "Top Gun" or "Crimson Tide" or "Black Hawk Down." Why do you think 6 7 the military supports those types of movies that 8 portray a positive image? Because they're great 9 recruiting tools and it is information for the American 10 public that helps justify the existence of the 11 organization. 12 MR. STRAUCH: Was there anything different 13 about this particular cruise other than -- other than the event itself: the number of visitors, the nature 14 15 of the --16 Admiral Mackey, I found MR. WADDLE: Sure. 17 out on Wednesday before the Friday underway, wasn't
- 21 The greatest disappointment was finding out
 22 that Admiral <u>Kinetsnee Konetzni</u> wasn't going to be there
 23 at all. I found that out Wednesday when I went to the

going to go to sea. Or maybe it's Tuesday. That was a

big disappointment. Not really because I didn't know

24 PAO office and spoke with Rowena so I could get

18

19

20

the man.

- 1 biography information on the individuals coming to my
- 2 ship. Because here's my chance to show off, again, my
- 3 boat, one last hurrah before KinetsneeKonetzni left.
- 4 And I wanted the biography of the individuals coming
- 5 because I'd like to know something about their
- 6 background before they came on the ship. The way it
- 7 works.
- 8 Was this group different? Sure, it was a
- 9 group of Texans, and I agreed to do the -- the DV
- 10 cruise once I found out Admiral Mackey wasn't going and
- 11 <u>KinetsneeKonetzni</u> wasn't going because I had agreed to
- do so and they had already made the trip and were on
- 13 island at that time.
- MR. STRAUCH: And you're from Texas?
- MR. WADDLE: I am.
- 16 MR. STRAUCH: Did that play a part? They --
- 17 you said they were Texans.
- MR. WADDLE: Sure.
- 19 MRS. WADDLE: You didn't know that --
- 20 MR. WADDLE: I didn't know it until I found
- 21 out that Wednesday. Then it was just something -- I
- 22 wanted to do something a little bit special for them,
- 23 so I went to the -- this flag shop downtown and bought
- 24 little Tennessee state flags, Navy flags, and Texas

1	state flags, and I bought one from for Brandhuber
2	from Ohio because I thought that's where Perdue was.
3	Dummy. Now I know differently. Dumb son-in-law told
4	me that he was from Ohio. Bought the wrong state flag.
5	But anyway, I just wanted to make it
6	memorable. I wanted it to be special for for the
7	group. I wanted the table decoration to look good. I
8	wasn't trying to be a Martha Stewart, but I wanted this
9	to be just like if you were invited on board my sub.
10	I'd want it to be special for you. I'd want you to go
11	away with the impression the confidence to know that
12	the men that were serving in their capacity as sailors
13	were doing a good job and protecting our country and
14	that we had fully qualified, highly skilled, trained
15	individuals out there. That's what made me so proud,
16	because that's what's serving out there today.
17	MR. STRAUCH: What about the number of
18	visitors? Have you had that that number before?
19	MR. WADDLE: I'd had more before. We
20	heck, we've had families of my crew members out there.
21	Twice three times that many out there at sea.
22	MR. STRAUCH: When you took those families
23	out in the increased numbers, did you did you have

the same number of people in the control room as you

1	had
2	MR. WADDLE: More. More.
3	MR. STRAUCH: But on this cruise, they seemed
4	to have gotten in the way, at least to Seacrest?
5	MR. WADDLE: Because they were all up front.
6	And yes, they were in the way, but Patrick Seacrest
7	could have said, excuse me, I need to get to my plot,
8	but he chose not to do so. And when they were in my
9	way and I couldn't see something, I said, excuse me,
10	would you move forward, would you move back, and they
11	accommodated me. They weren't in the way.
12	MR. STRAUCH: And if they were in the way,
13	you could have done something about it?
14	MR. WADDLE: I did do something about it.
15	MR. STRAUCH: What's the most number of
16	visitors you've ever had in the control room?
17	MR. WADDLE: I don't know.
18	MR. STRAUCH: You've had you have had more
19	than
20	MR. WADDLE: We've had more. But I can't
21	I can't tell you the number. I don't remember. But
22	that's you know, when families were there. Not so

many to the point that we couldn't do our job, but I've

had as many, it not more, in there before, and I'm sure

23

1 as other captains and crews have, too, with -- with 2 family members. Now, I can remember Admiral Paget during my 3 PCO -- prospective commanding officer training pipeline 4 5 when he was the commander of Submarine Group 2 in Connecticut saying, I don't like V -- DV -- I don't 6 7 like family cruises, I don't allow them here in 8 Connecticut, and I'm sure that with him being the force 9 commander in Pearl, they probably don't have family 10 cruises now where, you know, you take your family to sea, usually drive to Maui. They get off on a small 11 12 boat and then fly back or some come back -- come back 13 the same day or two days later from the -- visit. But, if the point is to what degree did the 14 15 -- did the civilians impact operations on that day, 16 I'll say that there was some. Their -- their sheer 17 presence alone was kind of like gnawing in the back of 18 your brain. Gotta get the folks back, we've got to get 19 the submarine back to port, we need to get -- they've 20 got an agenda to maintain, so let's get back and get this over with. 21 22 The fact that they were standing kind of in 23 the way caused a reaction from one of my sailors, so he

says to -- to not -- former crew members to -- to say,

- 1 you know, I chose not to update that because, well, it
- 2 was too hard, they were in the way. That's an excuse.
- 3 But you know, that could have been him that day. He
- 4 could say, well then, yes, they had an impact.
- 5 So, for me to say no, no, clearly the
- 6 civilians had no impact whatsoever, yes, they have an
- 7 impact. They had an impact by their sheer presence on
- 8 the ship because it's not normal, it's different.
- 9 MR. STRAUCH: Did you do anything differently
- 10 with the family cruises than with this cruise?
- 11 MR. WADDLE: Yeah, I didn't do an emergency
- 12 blow.
- MR. STRAUCH: You're saying that --
- 14 MR. WADDLE: Did the angles and dangles, did
- 15 high-speed turns. I don't remember going to that test
- 16 depth, but you know, I think -- I think we -- we went
- 17 deep. I'm not sure -- I don't remember how deep we
- 18 went. Have to look at the records. But let's say that
- what I did for the DVs that day was exactly what I did
- for the VIPs that I embarked when James Cameron,
- 21 Director James Cameron, and the Santa Barbara Navy
- 22 League, when I picked them up in San Diego in July of
- 23 1999. July '99? July of 2000. My dates are confused.
- 24 MRS. WADDLE: I don't know. I don't --

1	MR. WADDLE: July of 2000. July of 2000. My
2	point is, is that I didn't do anything special for this
3	group of Texan Texans than I did for I want to say -
4	- Jack Spencer was his name, I think, from the
5	thinktank in D.C. One man that I took to sea that day.
6	One man, one man. Because he was from a thinktank and
7	I knew it was important to Admiral Kinetsnee Konetzni.
8	He had no one else to go, and I volunteered. I said,
9	hey, look, I'm in my commanding officer discretionary
10	time, I'm in port, I can get I can get underway.
11	And so, I chose to get the submarine underway, but that
12	was my decision. I was in port, it was my
13	discretionary time, I could go to sea.
14	And see, a sea lawyer could use that very
15	same excuse and say, well, Commander Waddle said he
16	wanted to go to sea to train his crew that day, and so
17	we saw an opportunity and it fit so we actually put the
18	civilians on board because it was the smart thing to
19	do. That was my discretionary time to either stay in
20	port or take my submarine to sea.
21	Well, yeah, you know what? I chose to do
22	that. I took the ship to sea, and I'd hate to see that
23	as an excuse. Oh, well, Secretary of the Navy's
24	instructions said you can't do that, that's the only

1	reason why I went to sea that day, clearly not my
2	problem. I'm not saying that, you know. But I'm just
3	saying that if if there was no other reason for me
4	to go to sea that day other than take visitors, it
5	would have been nice to have someone say, you know, we
6	let that happen, we shouldn't have, and that was wrong.
7	MR. STRAUCH: One of the things that that
8	brings up is apparently they weren't aware of the
9	Secretary of the Navy's directive not to have
10	MR. WADDLE: I don't think a lot of people
11	were until later. But I don't think that has any
12	bearing or relevance on the accident. Again, it's one
13	of those red herrings. Separate thing for an
14	investigation if you want to have one. But it has no
15	no real bearing on the accident other than the
16	fact that, you know, I only went to sea that day for
17	one reason. That was to take the guests.
18	So, focus on what's important. What
19	procedures that should have been in place on the ship
20	that were not followed, were deviated from for whatever
21	reasons, what practices that the ship had in place were
22	not followed and why. If there was this level of
23	complacency, you know, why did why did the event
24	happen. I would just say that there were a lot of

Т	external factors and not again, not one single thing
2	is going to tell you. This is the cause of the
3	accident, but a lot of outside factors influenced the
4	outcome: the environment; the haze; the sea state; the
5	knowledge of having civilians on board; having to get
6	them back; the asviduASVDU not working; the fact that
7	my officer of the deck knew that he needed to stay in
8	the northern part of that box and so he drove north and
9	south instead of east and west; the fact that the Ehime
10	Maru was configured the way that she was, white hull,
11	white haze, narrow aspect, radar that was very
12	efficient and clean, minimal acoustic sound signature,
13	the arrival path.
14	What I'm trying to tell you is there were a
15	lot of things. There wasn't one single thing that you
16	could say, well, pilot got vertigo, inverted the plane,
17	it flew in the ground. Lightning bolt hit the plane,
18	blew up an engine, it flamed out and crashed. Engine
19	fell off, plane fell out of the sky. You know, I mean,
20	there wasn't one single thing that contributed to this,
21	but there were other things that my order to
22	Cohen Coen, you've got five minutes to get to PD, get up
23	there. My taking the periscope from him after he did
24	his initial periscope search and didn't do a thorough

- 1 search where I performed a quick search and was
- 2 criticized for being cursory, but I satisfied myself
- 3 because I remember looking down on top of the waves
- 4 saying, wow, sea state's big, kind of choppy, okay,
- 5 what's out here, and sweeping through the Ehime Maru
- and not seeing her because I didn't expect to see her.
- 7 So, you know, I --
- 8 MR. STRAUCH: Having to get the DVs back, how
- 9 did that play a role?
- 10 MR. WADDLE: I was supposed to be at Pappa
- 11 Hotel at 2:00. I think that was the time. Or maybe it
- 12 was more -- yeah, I can't remember the details now.
- 13 It's been too long.
- MRS. WADDLE: (Inaudible).
- 15 MR. WADDLE: But I was. I knew that we were
- 16 behind schedule, and so, yeah, I -- I answered Pfeifer
- in a trite manner, I think, by saying, I know what I'm
- doing. Come on, XO. We're the only one out here,
- 19 we're the only boat out here. I know where we are, I
- 20 know what we're doing. We'll get back. It's okay. I
- 21 know what we're doing, we'll get back.
- MR. STRAUCH: But you testified that you
- weren't in a rush even though you were aware that you
- 24 were running behind.

1	MR. WADDLE: Well, I wasn't.
2	MR. STRAUCH: I guess I'm having a hard time.
3	If you weren't in a rush
4	MR. WADDLE: If I wasn't in a rush, I would
5	have said, okay, Mr. Cohen Coen, let's take all day to
6	get to periscope depth. We're in no hurry, let's get
7	back. I didn't want to go through the aggravation of
8	watching him take a half an hour to clear baffles to
9	try to get the ship to periscope depth. I said, look,
10	you've got five minutes, get up there, make this
11	happen. So, I stood with him in the control room and
12	gave him very clear orders. Come right here, turn left
13	here, let's increase the speed, slow down, you're
14	ready? All right. Permission granted, let's go to
15	periscope depth.
16	I I can clearly see where anyone sitting
17	on the outside would say, we're not following your
18	logic, Captain. You tell us you weren't in a hurry,
19	but you cut corners. You tell us you weren't in a
20	hurry, but you gave the officer of the deck an
21	abbreviated time frame with which to operate. You said
22	you weren't in a hurry, but yet, you did a cursory look
23	out the periscope.
24	I wouldn't have gone to PD if I I knew I

1	had to because it's the right thing to do. It's what
2	you always do to make sure that there's not a sailboat
3	just loitering around dead in the water or a small boat
4	that's fishing floating with its engine off. I looked.
5	I thought I looked adequately close in to make sure
6	there was nothing there. And so, once that was done,
7	go deep, let's turn, let's go back up and head out.
8	The emergency blow was going to was going
9	to cut off part of that surfacing evolution because it
10	would have air in the ballast tanks immediately rather
11	than coming up to periscope depth, raising the snorkel
12	mast, lining up the ventilation system to put air in
13	the forward and aft balance tanks, broaching the ship,
14	starting the blower, and then driving in on the
15	surface. It was boom, boom, boom, let's go. And I was
16	trying to be efficient, and in that I compromised some
17	of the safety issues.
18	MR. STRAUCH: Well, I guess what I'm asking
19	is to is, you said you weren't in a rush but you
20	also said you you knew you had to get the DVs back.
21	MR. WADDLE: I don't know what they had
22	scheduled that afternoon, but I knew that staying on
23	board my ship late at night was not part of the plan.
24	Wasn't part of my plan, either. I wanted to get back

1	because I wanted to get my crew on liberty. I needed
2	to get the ship moored so we could shut down the
3	engineering plant, get the nukes on liberty as well.
4	MR. STRAUCH: So, how did having to get them
5	back affect the way you did things that day?
6	(Pause)
7	MR. WADDLE: I think the real issue is, was I
8	trying to do too much in the allotted time frame.
9	That's the issue. I wanted to show off the submarine,
10	give them that thrill, you know, that emergency blow
11	experience so they could say they saw it like you see -
12	- you know, like you see on The History Channel, the
13	submarine broaching out of the surface. It's that big
14	that big ride. As as $\frac{Nafman}{Nathman}$ would say, an
15	e-ticket ride, Commander Captain. Yeah.
16	So, if, with the submarine at periscope
17	depth, I had just said, Mr. Cohen Coen, prepare to
18	surface, that could have been another thing. But I
19	tried to cram I just crammed that emergency blow in.
20	And had we maneuvered to the left, we would have seen
21	the Ehime Maru, we would have surfaced, said, folks,
22	I'm sorry, we're out of time, you know, and that's
23	that would have been the prudent thing to do, in
24	hindsight, looking back at it. But it was trying to

- 1 cram that extra event in that added another 10 or 15
- 2 minutes, another 10 minutes or so onto the timeline.
- MRS. WADDLE: But you were already past.
- 4 MR. STRAUCH: Since the -- since the
- 5 incident, there was a realignment of the -- of the
- 6 submarine force, and they put Com Sub Pac underneath
- 7 Sub Lant. There was a reorganization, and I'm not real
- 8 clear on --
- 9 MR. WADDLE: I don't know, either.
- MR. ROTH-ROFFY: October 1st, 2001.
- MR. WADDLE: I don't -- I'm not part of that
- 12 administrative process. I can't comment on it. And
- that's something for you to ask someone else.
- MR. STRAUCH: Okay.
- MR. WADDLE: Ask me a question, but --
- 16 MR. STRAUCH: Well, I quess my question was,
- 17 did you --
- 18 MR. WADDLE: Did I feel that was part of the
- 19 response to the accident?
- 20 MR. STRAUCH: No, my question is, were you
- 21 aware of anything in oversight of Com Sub Force that
- 22 played a part in that reorganization?
- 23 MR. WADDLE: I have no idea. That's well
- 24 outside my area of influence. I -- I can't comment on

- 1 it. I don't know.
- 2 MR. STRAUCH: Okay.
- MR. WADDLE: But then, there's always a
- 4 struggle between the East Coast and the West Coast.
- 5 Whose ocean is bigger, who has more submarines, who's
- 6 the more important guy. Sub Pac has always been
- 7 subordinate to Sub Lant. Sub Lant has always been a
- 8 three-star, I think, and Sub Pac's been a two-star for
- 9 the longest time, or a one-star. And we have a lot
- 10 more ocean to cover. There's a lot more activity in
- 11 the Pacific than there is in the Atlantic. I'd like to
- 12 say, hey, give up the Russian bear, you know. With the
- day of the Cold War behind us, the action is really in
- 14 the Pacific. If I had my way, you know, I'd -- I'd
- 15 change some business, but you know, I'm not -- not in
- there. I don't make those decisions anymore.
- 17 MR. STRAUCH: In business, you would change
- 18 --
- MR. WADDLE: I'd have put -- it's irrelevant
- 20 to the discussion here, but clearly, more submarines
- 21 are needed in the Pacific than in the Atlantic, and I'd
- 22 close down Groton, Connecticut because I hate the
- 23 place. I've never liked it, you know. It's a rotten
- 24 place to operate out of. And that's, again, irrelevant

1 for this -- for this public record, but -- but Hawaii 2 is centrally located. You know, developing a presence in Guam so -- for shorter transit times for submarine 3 4 crews to get access to key vital areas of potential 5 conflict, regional conflict. You know, it's crazy that 6 we've got the submarine command presence in Hawaii but 7 yet the surface community is located in San Diego with 8 the air community headquartered in San Diego. 9 I mean, the Navy structure in itself is 10 something that should be reviewed and revisited, but I 11 don't know that it has any bearing or relevance to this 12 investigation or this line of questioning. 13 MR. STRAUCH: You were -- both you and Admiral <u>Kinetsnee</u><u>Konetzni</u> testified that -- that your 14 15 relationship you talked about here. Since the court of 16 inquiry, has your relationship with Admiral --MR. WADDLE: Don't hear from him, don't know 17 18 what he's doing, don't talk to him, nor he me. 19 MR. STRAUCH: When he said he loved you like 20 a son, do you think he was telling the truth? MR. WADDLE: I -- yeah -- yeah and no. I 21 think some of it was act, show. But I -- I do believe 2.2

-- I do believe -- Neiderhauser said this to me.

said, you know, Kinetsnee Konetzni's the kind of guy

23

- 1 that you've got to watch out for, and he's -- I think
- 2 Neiderhauser is one of the still-serving commodores out
- 3 there.
- 4 MR. ROTH-ROFFY: Squadron 7.
- 5 MR. WADDLE: Yeah. He said -- he said -- and
- 6 Neiderhauser's a former boss of mine. He was my
- 7 captain on the San Francisco. He was also PCO
- 8 instructor before I went through the pipeline and a
- 9 neighbor in Hawaii and a good friend. Said, Scott, you
- 10 know, Kinetsnee Konetzni's the kind of guy that'll be
- 11 patting you on the back while you're looking at him and
- 12 then sliping -- slipping a knife underneath your rib
- cage when you're not looking at him. He'll kill you
- 14 quietly while he's smiling at you. And that's just the
- 15 way he worked.
- Now, that's not to say -- speak ill of the
- 17 man, but I'll tell you, if you were on his good side,
- 18 you -- good graces, you did well. If he -- if he
- 19 didn't like you, you know, then you had trouble.
- 20 Smart man, very insightful. He knew that I
- 21 was a disciple of his to -- for lack of a better term
- because I did, I admired him greatly. I would do
- 23 anything for him because he -- he was one of the main
- reasons why I stayed in the Navy. That simple pat on

Т	the back and handshake that I got back at the Naval
2	Academy after I passed my engineer's exam was something
3	that no one had done in my entire Navy career. I have
4	worked for some really tough men as a junior officer
5	and hated it, and <u>Kinetsnee</u> Konetzni showed me a
6	different side of a man, that he can have fun. And
7	then, when I met him in <u>Kusco</u> Yokosuka years later, I
8	loved him and I said, you know, I want to be like him,
9	and he was a mentor of mine.
10	So, I I'd like to believe that that
11	happened and something still inside me tells me I'd
12	like to believe that what he said he said in my
13	stateroom he actually said, but I didn't catch it and I
14	just don't know why I would have missed something like
15	that. Scott, you know, you're the one that's informal,
16	you're the one that's getting ahead of your crew. I
17	just don't remember that. I really don't,
18	unfortunately.
19	But I was I was invited to his home. We
20	had nice dinners, private dinners with him, dinners at
21	social engagements. You know, if the Japanese
22	consulate had an event and Fred and Cos Harris, two
23	close friends of his from <u>Kusco</u> <u>Yokosuka</u> , Japan were in
24	town, we would meet. Drinks and cigars with him down

- in the Cahalla Mandarin where we just happened to be
- 2 the same time he was there, not planned. I wouldn't --
- 3 what we call butt snorkeling, you know, brown-nosing.
- 4 It wasn't -- I didn't do that to get ahead. I loved
- 5 the man. I admired the man. He was fun to be around.
- 6 Great stories. He energized me. He motivated me.
- 7 That's why when we had the deep submergence rescue
- 8 vehicle, DSRV, exercise with the Japanese submarine
- 9 Hayashio (ph), he came out on my boat, climbed in that
- 10 little rescue vehicle, and went over and made it -- 400
- 11 feet of water did this. You know, and came back
- 12 looking like death warmed over. It was -- it was rough
- on the man.
- But you know, I admired him. He fired up my
- 15 crew. He believed in me, I believed in him. I'd have
- 16 done anything for him, and I did. I did do anything
- 17 for him. Wouldn't do anything dishonest. Wouldn't
- 18 compromise my character, but it was tough for me to
- 19 hear him say those words. And -- and I truly believed
- 20 in what I said.
- 21 MR. STRAUCH: At the court of inquiry, I
- 22 quess the issue of the asviduASVDU came up and -- and I
- got the sense from your testimony that you weren't
- 24 really aware that it was out of service until you had

1	already left port.
2	MR. WADDLE: Right. And I you know,
3	reflecting back on that, if the if the officer of
4	the deck had briefed me that the navigator, that,
5	Sir, the asviduASVDU's out of commission, because I
6	believe he was the duty officer that day, I'm sure I
7	acknowledged it and initialed a trouble log. Was there
8	time to fix it? I didn't have my weapons officer on
9	board at that time. My sonar chief wasn't there,
10	either. So, I was missing two key guys to give me the
11	assessment as to what would be needed and required to
12	get it fixed. I had a very confident two confident
13	sonar supervisors, Aragon and Reyes, fairly new, who
14	had great credibility with me in short order. And
15	McGiveny had been there as well. But those guys knew
16	that, you know, that's a peripheral remote monitor.
17	Very important. There's a reason it's down there in
18	the control room, so you have an independent means of
19	monitoring what's there and providing backup.
20	But we were we were only out for such a
21	short period of time that I didn't consider it a
22	prerequisite to repair before we dove the boat and
23	moved on. And I was also criticized in the court of
24	inquiry for not having any special written supplemental

- 1 standing orders and having that kind of stuff in place
- 2 to give extra guidance to the ODs. You know, that was
- 3 a poor assumption on my part because if something that
- 4 I know that that's important is broken, then I assumed
- 5 that I had instilled within my men enough common sense
- 6 and understanding of ship operations that they would
- 7 have accounted for it appropriately.
- 8 And -- thank you -- the reason we didn't have
- 9 a supplemental standing order typed up is, one, I
- 10 didn't have the key people that -- that would have
- 11 generated the document present on the ship that day.
- 12 It was a skeletal organization. Plus, my XO was
- involved in providing operational control and oversight
- 14 for the events for that morning, ensuring the tours and
- things were going, and I had key people busy. We just
- 16 didn't have time to sit down, let's focus on generating
- 17 a piece of paper so we can satisfy something that, you
- 18 know, is not necessary.
- MR. STRAUCH: If you had been --
- 20 MR. WADDLE: If I had been underway for more
- 21 than 24 hours, a supplemental standing order would have
- 22 been written.
- MR. STRAUCH: And if you had been really
- aware that, hey, this is not working when you had gone

Τ	out, would you have done anything differently?
2	MR. WADDLE: If I had known about it the day
3	before, I would have directed to have it fixed and
4	repaired.
5	MR. STRAUCH: Okay.
6	MR. WADDLE: But the fact is, is that,
7	Captain, we're ready to get underway. Sir, the
8	asviduASVDU's not working. Oh, what what what
9	now? This thing's broken? All right. Put it in the
10	trouble log. Enter it in the equipment status log, and
11	then we'll fix it when we get back to port this
12	afternoon.
13	MR. STRAUCH: And apparently, from your
14	testimony, this was not uncommon for the $\frac{asvidu}{ASVDU}$ to
15	be out of service?
16	MR. WADDLE: No, that's not true. It it
17	operated, but this power module or whatever it was is a
18	known is a part that's known to be prone to failure
19	after X-number of hours of operation. So, it's not
20	like it failed every day, failed every other day, every
21	week, every other week, every month, every other month.
22	It was one of these random things. But it was known
23	to have a module that required replacement. It just
24	happened that it happened that day.

1	MR. STRAUCH: Do you have any questions?
2	MR. ROTH-ROFFY: This is Tom Roth-Roffy now,
3	and I've just got a few questions.
4	MR. WADDLE: Sure.
5	MR. ROTH-ROFFY: My area is is more the
6	operations and engineering. As you may have guessed,
7	Barry is doing the human factors, and that's his area
8	of specialty. So, I wanted to just, maybe, ask you a
9	few equipment questions.
10	MR. WADDLE: Sure.
11	MR. ROTH-ROFFY: Were there any equipment
12	problems on the day of the accident that may have
13	affected the sensor's ability to detect either with the
14	periscope, the sonar, or the ESM? And I know that
15	that the equipment was tested afterward.
16	MR. WADDLE: I'll say, "no," because we'd
17	just finished a very extensive dry docking selected
18	restricted availability, and equipment tested and
19	operated satisfactorily. Now, the water that we had
20	that came down the hatch in the control room didn't
21	impact, to my knowledge, my ESM equipment or my sonar
22	equipment or fire control equipment. It it it
23	ended on the deck, and if anything, it it wetted the
24	control surface stations or the controlling station for

1	control surfaces and stuff where the helmsman sits.
2	So, I would say, to my knowledge, no, there
3	were no equipment issues that would have degraded my
4	sensor capability. That's why I'm still dumbfounded at
5	the fact that my ESM operator didn't know it was there,
6	my sonar operator didn't know the guy was there. It
7	just everything worked. We should have we should
8	have picked up on the fact. But as was was proven
9	in the court of inquiry, we tracked the Ehime Maru for
10	an hour or so before the collision, I think, and it was
11	going in a different direction, you know.
12	But the answer's "no." Everything, to my
13	knowledge, was working that should have been working.
14	MR. ROTH-ROFFY: Okay. There apparently,
15	they found some sort of a problem with the gyroscope in
16	the periscope. Did you notice that when you did your
17	search?
18	MR. WADDLE: No.
19	MR. ROTH-ROFFY: And did it have any any
20	problem?
21	MR. WADDLE: No. Tell me about that. What
22	is this?
23	MR. ROTH-ROFFY: There's some sort of
24	MR. WADDLE: Was it off? Meaning that

- 1 well, meaning that if I trained the periscope thinking
- 2 I'm looking at north --
- 3 MR. ROTH-ROFFY: No, no. It was -- my
- 4 understanding is there's some kind of a gyro --
- 5 MR. WADDLE: Oh, I know what you're saying.
- 6 Yeah, there was.
- 7 MR. ROTH-ROFFY: -- that was drifting --
- 8 MR. WADDLE: Right. It -- it would go down
- 9 or -- it -- it -- the gyro -- I know what you're saying
- 10 now. There's a gyrostabilizer in the Number 2
- 11 Periscope that's used usually for photo reconnaissance.
- 12 And sometimes it could cause the lens to dip down or
- pop up or -- or it -- it wouldn't track. Or, there was
- an issue with the lens where it might roll back.
- But on that day, my optics weren't -- I could
- 16 see -- if your point is, could I see clearly out of the
- 17 periscope, the answer is "yes" because I had my full
- 18 field of view. It wasn't narrow, it wasn't smaller
- 19 than -- than expected, from what I recall now.
- MR. ROTH-ROFFY: Sure.
- MR. WADDLE: On that day, I could -- I could
- see to the coastline of Oahu. I just remember that
- 23 white belt of haze around the mountain and just seeing
- the very top of the peaks. I don't recall any problems

- 1 with the periscope optics.
- 2 MR. ROTH-ROFFY: And the gyroscope, you
- 3 didn't have to overly train the -- I believe it's just
- 4 in -- just strictly in a vertical direction, is that --
- 5 the stabilized?
- 6 MR. WADDLE: Right. It stabilizes in a
- 7 vertical, but I don't -- I'm usually pretty anal about
- 8 that, too, when I take the scope. I -- I look at where
- 9 the switches are, and I don't remember the gyroscope
- 10 being on. It's one of the things I can reach up and
- 11 tell, you know.
- MR. ROTH-ROFFY: So, it's possible it was not
- 13 even engaged?
- MR. WADDLE: It's very possible mostly
- 15 because you don't turn it on until after the submarine
- is on the surface or you're at periscope depth for a
- 17 prolonged period of time, and then if the waves are
- impacting the motion of the ship and the stability of
- 19 the periscope optics, then usually the officer of the
- 20 deck would reach up and turn it on. Quite frequently
- 21 my guys would forget to turn it off. Not just them,
- but it's a common thing in the submarine community.
- 23 There's no little light in the optic window view screen
- 24 that you see that is on saying, like, the gyro's on or

1	you've got the peri-vis thing on.
2	See, part of part of the thing, too, that
3	could have compounded and again, it's one of those
4	what-if things. If, you know, the peri-vis switch, the
5	periscope visual, which channels 40 percent of the
6	light from the periscope to a monitoring screen, was
7	turned on, then that would have cut down on the amount
8	of light through the scope and made it more difficult
9	to discern the shape.
10	What I actually think happened in hindsight
11	here is that I remember standing this is the bow.
12	I'm facing forward. Seacrest would have been over here
13	to my right. Oahu would have been behind me as we were
14	heading to the to the southeast. And I remember
15	taking the periscope from Mr. Cohen Coen and turning to
16	the right in a direction where I remember what I
17	thought one of the surface ships would have been.
18	Swept the scope in low power, went to high power,
19	looked, then panned to the right, saw the island, the
20	belt of haze, saw a plane take off thinking, boy,
21	that's really odd, I can only see the mountain peak, I
22	can't see the the mountains, but I can just see the

belt around. Then I could see an airplane taking off.

very tip tops of them because of this white haze of

23

- 1 Well, that makes sense because the reef runway 2 extended out beyond the Kualau (ph) Mountain range and 3 Wyna (ph) Mountain range. 4 And then, I panned to the right where I 5 thought I would see the Ehime Maru. I looked over at 6 the remote repeater, and I saw the numbers and that 7 looks -- that looks right. That's where the guy is. 8 Didn't see him. Then went to low power and then turned 9 to the right. I think what happened is the Ehime Maru 10 was perhaps further to the right, and as I swept in low 11 power looking through here, I -- I missed her. And --12 and that's -- that's the only explanation that I can 13 think of as to why I missed the vessel. It was perhaps too far to the right out of my field of view when I was 14 15 doing my high power search thinking that the -- the 16 degree of optics that I was covering would encompass 17 and overlap that area of uncertainty. 18 I quarantee you if I had seen the vessel I wouldn't have done what I did. No sane person would 19 20 have done that. I mean, I just -- I just didn't see
- But I -- I don't question the operations of any of the equipment. And when you said there was a problem with the gyro repeater, I'm not surprised

21

it.

- 1 because I recall we -- we may have had a problem with
- 2 the lens rotating up or maybe the gyro not keeping the
- 3 view -- field of view stable. I don't think it was a
- 4 contributor, though, to the problem.
- 5 MR. ROTH-ROFFY: You touched briefly on the
- 6 issue of active sonar and how it was not -- our
- 7 recommendation was not -- was not well-received in the
- 8 submarine community. However, in discussions with
- 9 several submariners, apparently some submarines use
- 10 this HF sonar as part of their periscope-depth search.
- 11 MR. WADDLE: They can.
- MR. ROTH-ROFFY: Are you aware of that?
- 13 Maybe it varies from coast to coast, east to west?
- MR. WADDLE: It does. It -- it varies from
- 15 coast to coast, it varies from commanding officer to
- 16 commanding officer, it varies from proficiency of crew
- 17 member to crew member, and it varies depending upon the
- nature of the operations. If you're supposed to be
- 19 clandestine and quiet, then you don't turn on your
- 20 active sonar and say, bing, here I am, you know. But
- 21 if you're operating in local area waters, I'm not going
- 22 to say there's a reason you can't use it. I mean, that
- 23 if you have the ability to man the -- the stack that
- 24 you should, why, it makes sense to do so. You've got

1	another set of sensors.
2	But the dilemma here is that when you line up
3	for use of your active sonar, it takes away from your
4	passive broadband monitoring on the Legacy systems, so
5	it does in fact impact your operational alignment and
6	configuration and it makes that periscope depth
7	evolution a lot longer. And there's that transition
8	time from when a submarine is at X-number of feet below
9	the surface when you're conducting your baffle clear
10	that you want to minimize the time you're there because
11	you're close to the surface, you're there is little
12	chance of a collision because of the overlap of a deep
13	draft merchant and the type the height of your sail.
14	But there's that issue that you want to get to the
15	surface as quickly as you can so that you can determine
16	there's nothing there. And going through the the
17	process of active sonar is just another step that
18	you're adding to a procedure that you're trying to
19	streamline. So, you know, there's a number of reasons
20	why it's still not well-received from that perspective.
21	MR. ROTH-ROFFY: You mentioned a little bit
22	about the ARCI and how the technology was, maybe, ahead
23	of the manning issues and procedural issues.
24	MR. WADDLE: Right.

1 MR. ROTH-ROFFY: You had an ARCI, I believe, 2 on the boat. MR. WADDLE: We did. We had two of our 3 4 Legacy consoles, two of the four had been removed, and 5 the ARCI had been installed, but ARCI is primarily used 6 for total ray, not for spherical ray. 7 MR. ROTH-ROFFY: Okay. And you also had 8 something called Attack 3. 9 MR. WADDLE: Right. 10 MR. ROTH-ROFFY: Was that used normally or 11 what function did that Attack 3 serve? Because, 12 apparently, it had a -- a higher computing power, some 13 other different algorithms. I don't know exactly what 14 all it was, but it was a new -- newer technology that 15 was --16 MR. WADDLE: Again, it's all -- it's all a 17 manning issue. If I've got two -- if I've got a 18 broadband operator and then an advanced operator and a 19 sonar supervisor, and that's three men in sonar, a 20 supervisor overlooking two guys that are sitting on the 21 Legacy panels, then for someone else to then operate a 2.2 Attack 3 -- does it mean that we can't use it? No, we 2.3 can use it. I could -- I could have more people

assigned to stations than I need to, but on that day it

1	was an issue where I didn't have an individual there.
2	The Attack 3 is is like a desktop computer
3	that takes sonar sensor information and it processes
4	it. And again, under normal circumstances, if I had a
5	junior officer of the deck standing watch, that would
6	have been his responsibility to operate the Attack 3
7	and make it happen. That day we didn't have it manned.
8	MR. ROTH-ROFFY: Was it how would you
9	compare the capabilities of Attack 3 to the to the
10	Legacy fire control system that was being manned? Was
11	there any improvement or did you not like it? Or
12	MR. WADDLE: Well, it wasn't a question of
13	"like." Again, it was one of those challenges of do
14	you have the people. The constant problem that the
15	Navy is faced with is, do we have qualified people on
16	board the ship to man the stations to go to sea. And
17	the issue is, is with the drawdown and the tight money
18	problems, we've got basic we have sonar operators
19	coming in out of sonar school right now that don't know
20	squat whereas they used to be, because the curriculum
21	was long enough and we had enough bodies to fill the
22	holes, we could get these people almost qualified to be
23	a basic sonar operator by the time they got to fleet so
24	all they had to do was learn the unique things about

- 1 the ship, and then they were readily contributing
- 2 members.
- 3 Well, the same thing is true about the Attack
- 4 3. We get all these wonderful additions and we have
- 5 schools and classes that I can send a junior officer to
- 6 to learn, but more often than not, these guys are
- 7 homegrown. You have to teach them through on-the-job
- 8 training how to use the stuff. And it's -- it's a
- 9 challenge, so if you don't have a guy that knows how to
- 10 use it, then sometimes you don't tend to use it.
- 11 But again, that's -- that's the captain's
- 12 problem and not anybody else's. He's got to make sure
- that he has requisite people on board that know how to
- operate the equipment. Like our ESM, the Whirly 8,
- that thing is a dinosaur and a piece of crap when it
- 16 comes to electronic surveillance intercept.
- 17 Chronically burning cards and material problems with
- it, and you have to be almost a genius to figure out
- 19 how to troubleshoot, fix, and repair it. And there are
- 20 a select few individuals in this world who know how to
- 21 do that. A lot of them are former, retired -- former
- 22 active duty electronic technicians that are members of
- tiger teams that fly away to certain locations to help
- 24 fix these problems when you find a gremlin in your --

- 1 in your gear.
- 2 But that's the nature of the beast, you know.
- 3 Antiquated electronic equipment, water-cooled
- 4 electronic computers and fire control systems. Don't
- 5 know how many companies today are running with water-
- 6 cooled electronics, but that's the nature of the beast
- 7 there, too. It's part of the design.
- 8 MR. ROTH-ROFFY: Okay. And that's another
- 9 question I had, was the ESM. It seems to me the court
- of inquiry touched on it but really did not delve into
- it that deeply as to why the ESM failed to detect the
- 12 Ehime Maru's radar. And you mentioned something which
- 13 I had not heard before, is the -- the -- the angle of
- 14 propagation from their -- their antenna may have
- 15 somehow --
- MR. WADDLE: It's not the angle of
- 17 propagation, but when you look at a -- at a radar
- 18 antenna, and this is the -- the front part. You have a
- 19 main lobe here.
- MR. ROTH-ROFFY: Correct.
- MR. WADDLE: You have a back lobe, and then
- 22 you usually have two side lobes. In some of the
- 23 modern-day radars, you -- you may or may not detect
- these side lobes or maybe this back lobe, and so, quite

1	often, the difference between a signal strength one
2	contact, which is picking up the main lobe or two, main
3	and back, signal strength three which is main, back,
4	and maybe intermittent side and fore is when you've got
5	saturation is dependent upon the the parameters
6	of this of this radar.
7	Again, I had an under-instruction watch
8	sitting at ESM with head with the I guess the
9	over-instruction watch had headphones on and looking at
10	the screen, too. My over-instruction watch guy was
11	it Cart Carter, excuse me, not Carpenter. Carter
12	was kind of a passive, kind of a quiet guy. Good at
13	his job, but he may have missed something. And I don't
14	know that the ESM wasn't working properly, but the
15	problem that I had, too, is that we're nine miles off
16	the coast of Oahu off of the reef runway where I've got
17	FAA radars, I have other commercial radars operating.
18	So there's a lot of background noise and interference,
19	and in hindsight, you know, could have spent more time
20	up there to let ESM sort it out. But usually, they
21	know pretty quickly when they have a saturated signal
22	or something that indicates a close-to-board threat.
23	In this case, it wasn't detected for whatever reason.
24	MR. ROTH-ROFFY: Okay. I'm going to

1	getting close to the end of the tape, so I'm going to
2	stop and switch it.
3	MR. WADDLE: All right.
4	(End of Tape 2, Side A)
5	MR. ROTH-ROFFY: Okay. We're back on the
6	record after a short break.
7	MR. WADDLE: Okay. One thing that I wanted
8	to go back to, and and this is not connected to
9	equipment operations but it was part of this command
10	climate on my ship. There was this element of mutual
11	trust where the crew had seen me perform in very
12	challenging conditions and exit in a very safe manner,
13	and these were complex contact environments. And I had
14	seen my crew operate under some very challenging
15	conditions as well, so there was this mutual respect.
16	And sometimes I wonder if when I looked out that
17	periscope and I said, I hold no visual contacts, that
18	my men let their guard down. Say, well, the captain
19	doesn't see anything, there isn't anything out there,
20	we're okay, let's move on. And I believe that that
21	could have been a contributor here, that that could
22	have contributed to that complacency and removed that
23	effective backup that should have been in place where
24	they say, no, you know, we've got to prove that that's

- 1 not the case.
- 2 So -- and it works -- worked both ways. My
- 3 guys don't say they see anything, then I've got to be
- 4 the diligent man that's up there looking sharp to make
- 5 sure. But I believe that because I was respected by my
- 6 men and they trusted me so, as I did them, that if they
- 7 -- oh, captain says there's nothing up there, that's
- 8 got to be the way it is, there's nothing there, so
- 9 everything's fine.
- 10 Okay. Now, I'm ready to go on.
- 11 MR. ROTH-ROFFY: Okay. Getting back to the
- 12 ESM, you mentioned something about the characteristics
- of the new modern radar have -- not having the side
- 14 lobes as a bleed-over.
- 15 MR. WADDLE: I don't know. That's what I
- 16 think. I --
- 17 MR. ROTH-ROFFY: Okay. You think that's a
- 18 possibility?
- 19 MR. WADDLE: I just think that it's -- it's a
- 20 very -- it's a crisp -- it's a finely tuned piece of
- 21 gear with a great crystal. I -- I don't know. I mean,
- 22 I'm not a -- I'm not a physicist when it comes to
- 23 knowing radar characteristics, but I'm confident that
- 24 each radar has a main lobe, back lobe, and side lobes,

1 or some form or combination of those. I don't know why we didn't --MR. ROTH-ROFFY: Now, is it necessary to 3 4 classify a -- a signal strength four or five to detect 5 those side lobe --MR. WADDLE: No, because --6 7 MR. ROTH-ROFFY: -- back lobe --8 MR. WADDLE: -- the common practice in the 9 field if you've got a radar that is painting a contact 10 is you can decrease the power to -- to power output of 11 that radar while you're continuing to track and paint 12 that contact, so it gives you the indication that the 13 source is still distant even though you're continuing to close and you continue to reduce the power. 14 15 Assuming that, you know, for a given power output, I 16 would have expected when I'm in -- within a mile, 2000 17 yards of another radar, to reach saturation conditions. 18 And for whatever reason, it could have been that we 19 were just up there too short of time, the operator 20 didn't -- didn't realize that we didn't detect it. 21 I don't know why we didn't know that the radar was on, the contact was there. 2.2

EXECUTIVE COURT REPORTERS, INC. (301) 565-0064

Carter being -- primarily standing the ESM watch --

MR. ROTH-ROFFY: Okay. You mentioned the ET1

23

1	MR. WADDLE: Right.
2	MR. ROTH-ROFFY: but my understanding is
3	you also have this separate ESM or, I'm sorry, the
4	early warning system, which is a audible alert
5	MR. WADDLE: Right.
6	MR. ROTH-ROFFY: in the control room so
7	that in fact had you a signal strength four or five
8	that everybody in the control room should have heard it
9	
10	MR. WADDLE: Well,
11	MR. ROTH-ROFFY: as well as that
12	MR. WADDLE: well, again, it's background
13	noise, and that's why I made the point that operating
14	off the coast of Oahu with all of the air search radars
15	and other background commercial radars, it it's
16	it's very difficult to discern something that's close
17	and a threat.
18	Now, you can hear a high pulse repetition
19	frequency, a high PRF, radar when you know it's locked
20	onto a periscope. You discern that difference. But
21	when we raised the scope that day, you could you
22	could hear the bloop, bloop, beep, boop, all these
23	beeps and blips and what not as the as the scope was
24	getting painted by a radar signal. But not one

- 1 particular thing sounded like a blip-blip-blip, and in
- 2 the background I couldn't pull that information out
- 3 orally. So, again, yes, I heard radar signals but that
- 4 wasn't uncommon for what I recall hearing --
- 5 MR. ROTH-ROFFY: So, are you saying that the
- 6 signal strength four or five are really not that
- 7 discernible from the other background noise?
- 8 MR. WADDLE: You can't hear it with the early
- 9 warning receiver. It's like if this window could open
- and I'd say, all right, tell me how close the cars are
- that are driving by, you know, they're out there, you
- can hear them out there, but you don't know how close
- 13 they are.
- It's -- it's your -- it's your ESM operator
- that can classify and tell you how strong that radiated
- 16 signal is once he detects it. And his skill is, one,
- 17 to detect it orally, and then, two, when he hears it,
- to scan the bandwidth to pick up where it is and then
- 19 determine the strength of the signal based on the
- 20 amplitude that -- of the -- of the signal that he's
- 21 receiving. And frankly, you know, we may have been too
- short of a time for him to actually get into the
- 23 analysis phase. I don't know.
- 24 MR. ROTH-ROFFY: Now, talking about the fire

1 control system, you have an automated system called 2 CAST which you alluded to before --MR. WADDLE: Mm-hmm. 3 MR. ROTH-ROFFY: -- that actually had a good 5 solution on the Ehime Maru but for some reason was not recognized. Did -- is that something that -- that the 6 7 operators and the officer of the deck and the CO 8 normally look at, is the CAST solution? MR. WADDLE: No, you look at --9 10 MR. ROTH-ROFFY: Do you rely on that? 11 MR. WADDLE: -- you look at a system 12 solution, not the CAST. But you expect your fire 13 control operator to balance the CAST solution against his updated solution to make sure it makes sense. 14 15 mean, if CAST is telling you the contact's at 2000 16 yards and your generated solution is at 14,000, you've 17 got a problem. And it's just sloppiness. Shouldn't 18 have happened. 19 MR. ROTH-ROFFY: Is there often a -- a large 20 disparity between the CAST solution and --21 MR. WADDLE: It depends. 2.2 MR. ROTH-ROFFY: I mean, it's just 23 unpredictable that --

EXECUTIVE COURT REPORTERS, INC. (301) 565-0064

MR. WADDLE: Not unpredictable. It's just if

- 1 -- if -- if you maneuver frequently enough on a contact
- 2 to -- to provide the -- the generated bearing rate to
- 3 allow the computer to come up with an independent
- 4 solution, then CAST is very good. If you don't, then
- 5 CAST isn't very good. Even the computer has its
- 6 limitations, but over time with adequate maneuvers the
- 7 CAST solution will give you a solution that is very
- 8 fair, if not good or accurate.
- 9 MR. ROTH-ROFFY: So, in -- in maneuvering the
- 10 submarine, is -- is that not something that you would
- 11 aim to do, is to -- to minimize that CAST error?
- MR. WADDLE: Exactly, and that's why --
- that's for just general target motion analysis. That's
- 14 why I mentioned earlier that had CohenCoen, in
- hindsight, maneuvered the ship on more east-westerly
- 16 legs than north-south, then he would have been able to
- 17 drive bearing rate to the Ehime Maru or any contacts to
- 18 the north and confirm their range.
- 19 I didn't pick up on the fact, looking back at
- 20 the nav plot or look at -- the CEP because it hadn't
- 21 been updated for a while, that the -- that we had
- 22 driven on north-south legs.
- I could tell you that walking into the sonar
- 24 room and I asked McGiveny, what contacts do you have?

- 1 Sir, I have these two. What do they sound like? Sound
- 2 like trollers or small vessels, small craft. What are
- 3 the bearings? Here they are. Northwest, northeast.
- 4 Close or far? They're distant, sir. They're --
- 5 they're up by land. Okay.
- 6 Walk over to fire control system with the GO
- 7 display there, and I see two contacts up off the coast
- 8 of Oahu. It made sense to me. Sonar just told me what
- 9 they had, fire control has validated that information.
- 10 I look over at the nav plot. We're here, nine miles
- 11 away is land, seven miles away. Not an unrealistic
- solution for a contact going up the northeast to
- Diamondhead or one traveling northwest, maybe going
- 14 wherever. So, it made sense to me and that's how I
- 15 recall ships over the last ten years operating in
- 16 Hawaii based on my personal experience. I didn't think
- 17 about a narrow aspect, constant bearing, decreasing
- 18 range kind of guy. And the CAST solution driving north
- 19 and south, although the maneuvers were adequate for
- 20 that solution, over time, over an hour or so, proved to
- 21 be the more accurate one, unfortunately.
- MR. ROTH-ROFFY: I've read a little bit about
- 23 the -- the British submarines. I don't know if you're
- familiar with the way they operate.

1	MR. WADDLE: No.
2	MR. ROTH-ROFFY: You alluded to the fact that
3	they have two separate pipelines: the tactical people
4	and the engineering people.
5	MR. WADDLE: Mm-hmm.
6	MR. ROTH-ROFFY: But I I've also read that
7	they have an automated TMA arrangement
8	MR. WADDLE: Can't tell you.
9	MR. ROTH-ROFFY: Okay. I know well,
10	MR. WADDLE: don't know.
11	MR. ROTH-ROFFY: really, my question was,
12	do you see a place for increased automation on on
13	U.S. submarines, some sort of oral alert that would
14	would force the person to acknowledge that, you know,
15	he's operating either nearby another contact or near
16	shallow water or because
17	MR. WADDLE: Sure. Alarms and warnings are
18	always good, but you know, what do you do when you're
19	operating in those challenging environments where
20	you're closing within hundreds of yards of small craft
21	that are, maybe, not metallic in structure? You know,
22	you don't want and I'm talking some very challenging
23	shallow water tactical littoral environments, and you
24	don't want an alarm constantly going off, "Danger," you

- 1 know, "Pull up" or "Turn left" or "Too low" or "Wind
- 2 shear." I mean, you know, you hear those things on
- 3 airplanes. I mean, if I had that, I'd say, turn that
- 4 damn thing off. I know I got somebody close. I can
- 5 see the son of a gun. Turn it off.
- 6 But am I for automation? Yes, I am, but the
- 7 human element is always going to be there and you can't
- 8 take that independent element out of the equation.
- 9 Someone's got to be able to judge the data to make sure
- 10 that it's -- it's real.
- In the court of inquiry, it was made
- 12 painfully clear that all that information was there. I
- don't refute that. But I'll tell you, my men didn't
- have time to visually integrate it, assimilate it,
- 15 evaluate it, and act on it in that manner. Just say,
- okay, we've got 15 seconds of data, ooh, high bearing
- 17 rate, Captain, we need to do this. No, they didn't see
- it. They didn't see it, and hindsight's always 20/20.
- 19 Anything else?
- 20 MR. ROTH-ROFFY: I think that's about all I
- 21 have for right now. I believe Barry has a couple more
- 22 questions.
- MR. WADDLE: Sure.
- MR. STRAUCH: Yeah, just a few more.

Τ	MR. WADDLE: Okay.
2	MR. STRAUCH: Two of the questions and at
3	the court of inquiry kind of kind of intrigued me.
4	The I guess there was an issue that the question
5	was about Lieutenant Stong (ph). <u>Sloan.</u> He did you
6	information that he did or did not give you about
7	the visibility to the north.
8	MR. WADDLE: Right.
9	MR. STRAUCH: And the color of the hull.
10	MR. WADDLE: Right. I I just touched on
11	that. I said that that report did not make it to me.
12	MR. STRAUCH: Okay. What report was that
13	that
14	MR. WADDLE: When when he was the officer
15	of the deck looking out the periscope or, he was the
16	contact coordinator I don't recall which one.
17	Probably officer of the deck because until a certain
18	point that the ship is outside 10 miles from land, he's
19	part of the navigating-piloting party. He runs the
20	he runs the modified piloting party unless the
21	navigation supervisor is there.
22	And Sloane must have been acting as the
23	contact coordinator looking out the periscope for some
24	time, and he saw two visual contacts. One was easier

- 1 to pick out than the other. The dark-hulled one was
- 2 easier to pick out and discern from the white haze
- 3 background for obvious reasons. And the white one was
- 4 more challenging, again, for obvious reasons. But that
- 5 report was not made to me as part of his watch relief
- 6 report.
- 7 MR. STRAUCH: Was he required to give you
- 8 that --
- 9 MR. WADDLE: Should have. I would have
- 10 expected that. Captain, the environment is changing.
- 11 That was one of my standing orders, if there's a
- 12 significant change in sea state or environment, then
- there's certain precautions that we take.
- MR. STRAUCH: And if he had given that to
- you, that report to you, how would that have affected
- 16 the way you did things?
- 17 MR. WADDLE: It may have made me look a
- 18 little bit harder knowing that -- that visibility was
- 19 somewhat questionable looking out the scope. If I knew
- 20 it was going to be more challenging to pull contacts
- 21 out, I'm speculating here, but I would like to think
- 22 that I would have spent more time, looked a little bit
- 23 harder, maybe come shallower. Hard to say.
- MR. STRAUCH: The other quote is in reference

- 1 to the three-minute TMA legs, and let me quote from
- 2 this question that was asked of you.
- 3 "Why did you set aside these principles?" and
- 4 it was referring is the, I guess, the standing orders.
- 5 MR. WADDLE: Yeah. I thought I addressed
- 6 that in the first part of our discussion.
- 7 MR. STRAUCH: Well, let me -- let me read the
- 8 rest --
- 9 MR. WADDLE: Okay.
- 10 MR. STRAUCH: -- of the quote. "Why did you
- 11 set aside these principles that are -- that have been
- 12 founded in blood, lessons learned, what people ahead of
- us, what was the rush? Why did you give that type of
- order that caused indisputably to have your watch team
- forego -- not do the types of things that they are used
- 16 to doing?" Is -- what do you think about his
- 17 characterization of standing -- of -- of the orders
- 18 regarding three-minute legs, that they were "founded in
- 19 blood"? Can you just comment on that?
- 20 MR. WADDLE: I'm -- I think I -- again, I
- 21 think I addressed that as being a red herring.
- MR. STRAUCH: Okay. All right.
- 23 MR. WADDLE: I -- I believe I have already
- 24 answered that question, but I'll state it again. The

Т	the there were times when I don't know
2	personally, but based on second reports or reports from
3	men that were subordinate to me that had served on a
4	submarine with Sullivan where he had taken the
5	submarine to his submarine, his command to
6	periscope depth without doing baffle clears because he
7	felt it prudent to to do so, that he could. The big
8	ocean, little ship theory, that there's nobody out
9	here, and for whatever reason, he needed to get up
10	there. Now, I don't know that to be true, but that's
11	what a shipmate of mine told me.
12	MR. STRAUCH: So, what he's referring to is
13	lessons learned in blood, those were those would
14	those be equivalent to what you referred to earlier as
15	guidelines?
16	MR. WADDLE: Yeah, those are the guidelines.
17	And it's kind of like the auditing practices of large
18	and major companies now will more than likely be
19	changed in view of the collapse of Enron. That's a
20	lesson that's been written in blood. Why? Because you
21	had an executive committing suicide. He killed himself
22	for it. He had family lives that were destroyed. And
23	so, I'd like to think that business practices will
24	change their accounting methods.

1	Business is business, but in the Navy, it's
2	kind of like the tag-out system which is used to hang
3	specific tags on valves, components, electrical
4	switches when you're conducting a repair. It'd be like
5	pulling the car ignition key or the key out of the
6	ignition and putting a tag on it "Do not use" while
7	you're under the hood of the car working on something
8	so that someone doesn't come in, turn the ignition key
9	on, and zap you or get your arm caught in the fan belt
10	or whatever. Or, you take the cable off a battery and
11	you tape it up or whatever as a precautionary measure
12	to ensure that while you're working on an electrical
13	thing no power gets through and shocks you.
14	We say that these are lessons that are
15	written in blood and loss of life, et cetera, so why
16	would you deviate from them? Well, you know what, it's
17	a guideline. And granted, in hindsight, it would have
18	been great if I had a six-minute leg, if I'd had a 10-
19	minute leg, but in that period I thought that my
20	maneuver was adequate. Yeah, they failed to
21	acknowledge the fact that I got the submarine to
22	periscope depth safely without hitting anything. So
23	the legs were irrelevant. That's another red herring.
24	The fact that I spent three minutes on a TMA leg here

- and 30 seconds on this one is irrelevant. The fact is,
- 2 is that my maneuver was adequate to verify there was
- 3 nothing close. I got the ship to periscope depth.
- 4 What could have been improved was the visual search,
- 5 the ESM search, but it was all cut short because I
- 6 intervened because I was satisfied that there was
- 7 nothing there, and that, again, is one of the
- 8 shortfalls.
- 9 In hindsight, after looking at everything,
- 10 despite what I thought was a geographic picture,
- 11 despite what I thought was a contact picture as well,
- and reports from my sonar operator, ESM, and officer of
- 13 the deck, there really was somebody close and I missed
- 14 him. As the -- as the last source of backup, I missed
- it and I should have stepped back and taken a look at
- 16 that whole forest instead of that one tree. I was too
- 17 close to the problem, and that's -- that's where I
- 18 personally -- I hold myself accountable. I allowed
- 19 myself to get too close to that evolution that I -- I
- 20 didn't step back.
- 21 And my executive officer Jerry Pfeifer has
- 22 always been there for me, and he has -- when I would
- get too close he'd step back or if he got too close,
- 24 I'd step back. There was always that balance. On that

- 1 day, I don't know what went wrong, but we didn't do it
- 2 right.
- 3 And that man to this day I know regrets
- 4 opening his mouth to this organization when he said
- 5 early on, you know, I was uncomfortable with the speed
- 6 with which things were going, it was going too fast,
- 7 and I thought, whoa, this isn't right, but I didn't
- 8 open my mouth. You know what? He lied. And it's
- 9 clear in the court of inquiry testimony later when his
- 10 attorney made a point, didn't you ever say something
- 11 under pressure that you really regret not saying --
- that you really regret that you said, that you wish you
- 13 hadn't said, because it made Jerry look like a dumb
- 14 ass. He wasn't any more concerned about what we were
- doing. He thought what we were doing was fine. He was
- 16 comfortable with it, as was everybody else in that
- 17 control room because we didn't expect the outcome that
- we got.
- 19 MR. ROTH-ROFFY: Actually, his comments, just
- 20 to correct the record, were made to the preliminary
- 21 investigation.
- MR. WADDLE: All right.
- 23 MR. ROTH-ROFFY: He chose not to -- to
- 24 discuss accidents prior to the collision with the --

1	MR. WADDLE: Right.
2	MR. ROTH-ROFFY: NTSB.
3	MR. WADDLE: Has he interviewed with you
4	afterwards?
5	MR. ROTH-ROFFY: Yes, he has. When was it?
6	MR. STRAUCH: About
7	MR. ROTH-ROFFY: November. Several months
8	ago he did
9	MR. WADDLE: That in Washington, D.C.?
10	MR. ROTH-ROFFY: Correct.
11	MR. WADDLE: Okay. I'd be interested to
12	do you have his written record in response?
13	MR. ROTH-ROFFY: No.
14	MR. STRAUCH: Actually, we have the
15	transcript.
16	MR. WADDLE: I'm interested in getting a copy
17	of that to read what he had to say.
18	MR. STRAUCH: That would be I don't know
19	if it's been (inaudible) public docket
20	(inaudible) when it is, we'd be glad to get it to
21	you.
22	MR. WADDLE: Sure.
23	MR. STRAUCH: In other words, our public

docket is our way of making things available to the

- 1 public.
- 2 MR. WADDLE: And I -- and I support that
- 3 because from the -- from the beginning my only desire
- 4 for this whole thing was to get to the truth,
- 5 regardless of what embarrassment it caused me because
- 6 there was no excuse for that loss of life that the
- 7 accident caused. And the bottom line, again, I stand
- 8 by, it was just a horrible tragic accident that could
- 9 have been averted if a lot of things had been
- 10 different.
- 11 MR. STRAUCH: I just have one last question.
- MR. WADDLE: Sure.
- MR. STRAUCH: Can you walk us through your --
- 14 your background? If you could begin with, when did you
- want to become part of the Navy?
- 16 MR. WADDLE: Well, my biography, I believe,
- 17 has been printed, you know, and made accessible on the
- 18 -- on the Net, but to keep it short, I'm the son of an
- 19 Air Force colonel. My stepfather is a retired Air
- 20 Force lieutenant colonel. My father and stepfather
- 21 both had 30-plus years in the Air Force, distinguished
- 22 careers, combat pilots. And I wanted to be a pilot. I
- 23 didn't want to be a submariner.
- 24 A company officer at the Naval Academy was a

- 1 submariner. I didn't think too highly of him because
- of the -- he was one of these guys that couldn't make a
- 3 decision when there wasn't a rule to provide a
- 4 guideline. It was either black or white, and I
- 5 thought, gosh, you know, for these gray areas, you're a
- 6 commissioned officer, you're a lieutenant, can't you
- 7 figure this out? I mean, it was puzzling to me as a
- 8 young, young man that this man couldn't make a
- 9 decision. I thought he was spineless.
- 10 And my sponsor was a guy that was a submarine
- 11 commander as well, and I learned a little bit about the
- 12 community and was talked into going into a submarine
- 13 for my freshman summer cruise, end of -- end of
- 14 freshman year summer cruise. I didn't think too much
- of it, I didn't really like it, but it was an
- 16 experience. I still wanted to fly, but as my time at
- 17 the Naval Academy progressed and I realized that my
- vision, which is still about 20/25, wasn't going to be
- 19 good enough to get me in the front seat of a plane,
- 20 then I had to look for alternatives.
- 21 And that's when I interviewed with Admiral
- 22 Rickover. I was accepted into the program. Became a
- 23 submariner. I didn't know that I had to request to go
- into the surface community. I would have much rather

- 1 been a surface nuke than a submariner because, to be
- 2 honest with you, I didn't like being enclosed in that
- 3 underwater environment. It just -- it's not me. I
- 4 need the outdoors, I need the sun, and so on.
- 5 But I ended up in the submarine community.
- 6 Didn't like my first tour. I worked for a man that was
- 7 a total tyrant. Hard, hard man, as was the case back
- 8 then, but I knew that I could still take care of my
- 9 men. I was always very close and protective of them.
- 10 Not a renegade, but I -- I -- I didn't fall in line
- 11 with the typical rhetoric that was preached. I -- I
- 12 walked to the beat of a different drum, and I kind of
- 13 always have. I've been the champion for the individual
- 14 that was picked on or not treated fairly, and I always
- believed in the cause of the individual, sometimes over
- 16 the cause of the organization. That's not to say that
- 17 the collective good of the organization wasn't
- 18 important, but I just -- I value people because I know
- 19 how I like to be treated.
- 20 And I developed my leadership traits. I had
- 21 mentors early on that were very important to me that
- 22 made a difference in life when times were tough. Had
- 23 some inspirational men come along and give me the pat
- on the back that decided whether I got in the Navy or

1	stayed out or, got out or stayed in.
2	Met Jill when we were when the ship
3	submarine moved to Washington, and she was an important
4	part of my life. And that decision process, we were
5	pregnant with Ashley. The economy, the day back in '86
6	was such that it wasn't good for me to get out and try
7	to find a job. And so, we moved to Italy. Again, some
8	challenging events occurred there, again working for
9	some hard people and the and I had some great people
10	to work for, too. But that's the way the military is.
11	You know, you have the good and bad and so on.
12	I was in the staff of Submarine Group 8,
13	enjoyed our tour there. Then became initial manning
14	engineer on the Kentucky as my second tour, second
15	submarine that I built the general dynamics. Did very
16	well, was very successful there, and had limited
17	experience in operating the submarine. A year in
18	comparison with, perhaps, three years as most of my
19	peers would have had on operational ships, but again,
20	my strength was in construction, testing, operation, et
21	cetera.
22	But I had the requisite skill that was
23	needed. Got my ticket punched, and became a member of
24	the Inspection Board at the NPB examining board in

1	the Pacific. Inspected 57 ships, saw how they
2	operated, gained more experience there. XO of the San
3	Francisco. Admiral Enright, now the serving group
4	commander of Submarine Group 7, Kusco Yokosuka, Japan,
5	was my submarine squadron seven commander when I was
6	XO, and I remember there was great concern over the
7	fact that the submarine was going to deploy on short
8	notice and here you've got this officer and an XO that
9	we're going to make a command duty officer on these
10	special operations and he doesn't have Fast Attack
11	experience, how's he going to do? Well, I did just
12	fine. I did better than fine. I did very good. Very
13	well.
14	Left my XO tour, which was very successful.
15	Gained notoriety for my accomplishments in that job.
16	was a I was a tough XO to work for, as most XOs are
17	because you play the bad guy more often than the good
18	guy, which is what the captain usually gets to be.
19	That's how I liked it to be. The XO gets to make the
20	tough calls unless the captain has to intervene and
21	there are decisions that need to be made by him.
22	But my career has been filled with career
23	was filled with 20 years of some extremely memorable
24	and fond events and some that weren't so pleasant. I

- 1 have overcome challenges with difficult bosses, and
- 2 I've enjoyed the time that I've worked with men that
- 3 were great to work for. Glen Neiderhauser's one of the
- 4 guys you're getting ready to go meet, and he was a
- 5 phenomenal captain. He did more to raise my self-
- 6 esteem by allowing me to do my job than any other man I
- 7 served with.
- 8 So, the Navy was very much my life. I lived,
- 9 ate, slept, and drank the Navy. My family came second.
- 10 Really did. And I realize that now that I've had over
- 11 six months to regain more control over my life and my
- 12 time. Spend quality time with my family. Lot of
- 13 personal sacrifices that are made.
- But, when I was away from my family, I had
- another family, and that other family was my -- my
- 16 crew. I love my men. I -- I -- I lost a lot on that
- 17 day on February 10th when the submarine returned from
- sea and I had to go to Admiral Kinetsnee Konetzni and he
- 19 gave me the letter saying you're detached for --
- 20 (inaudible) -- effective immediately. My chief of the
- 21 boat Doug Kaufman was just reduced to tears.
- Driving over in the truck I thought, what's
- wrong? Never in my wildest dreams did I ever envision
- that I would get fired, and I don't know why. It

1	happened to every other captain that had a collision or
2	a problem. It wouldn't happen to me, I thought,
3	because Admiral <u>Kinetsnee</u> <u>Konetzni</u> 's going to know that
4	this was just a horrible, freak accident. He's going
5	to know that because he knows me and he made this
6	comment in private. Said, Scott, if there was a
7	submarine that I had to have or had to pick to be
8	involved in this horrible, tragic accident, there's no
9	other vessel than yours that I would have picked. I
10	thought, that's a shitty thing to say, what the hell
11	what do you mean by that?
12	But it's something that both he and I
13	understand. I think it's because he knows that there
14	wasn't I don't think there's anybody else out there
15	that could have done what I did, stand tall to do the
16	right thing, to communicate to America that, you know,
17	military men and women serving in uniform are
18	accountable and are responsible for their actions.
19	Now, you don't always see that. Not every
20	commander does that. I chose to, and I believe that's
21	why there's some resentment in the Navy still today.
22	That's why I've never been asked to come back to the
23	Naval Academy to speak to the midshipmen at Forrestal

lectures or I haven't been asked to -- to go on the

1	speaker's circuit with the Navy to speak firsthand to
2	the people that matter. The Navy has swept this thing
3	under the rug. They've written their case study and
4	they've put things in articles.
5	But the Air Force saw fit to have me as a
6	principal speaker three weeks ago at the ninth annual
7	Leadership and Character Symposium, and I spoke in
8	front of 4000 people on the value of personal integrity
9	and uncompromising character and ethics and how I was
10	involved in this event. And I spoke to the 325th
11	Fighter Wing I mentioned at Tindle Air Force Base as
12	well. And the Air Force has openly embraced me and
13	they see the value and importance of that, and the Navy
14	is too shallow-minded, narrow-minded, and still so
15	resentful over the fact that this event happened that
16	they would much rather not see me in front of anyone in
17	that organization, and that's that's unfortunate
18	because it's going to happen.
19	And what's happening today is this particular
20	accident is now a big case study and it's probably the
21	first accident that's covered on the collision and
22	grounding briefings, and I would think you want to ask
23	about something, ask to see the Submarine Development
24	Squadron 12 collision and grounding presentation where

1	the Navy has addressed what it believes are the causes
2	behind this accident, and let's see if you agree with
3	their findings. A lot of it is going to be slanted
4	towards the outcome of the preliminary inquiry
5	conducted by Admiral Griffits Griffiths and the court of
6	inquiry by Admiral Nafman Nathman, but look at that
7	product. There's probably some written material, and
8	you may want to ask for it and they may say, well, it's
9	classified, but then I think that's a bunch of hooey.
10	If you wanted to look at it, you know, there's a lot
11	that's out there that you could probably look at.
12	But in this is not, again, answering your
13	question about my career, but I enjoyed what I did, and
14	let's just say that I've answered that question. But
15	the Navy isn't any more interested in having the
16	National Transportation Safety Board come into its
17	offices and to continue its investigation than you or I
18	are in having the Internal Revenue come in and dispatch
19	an agent to look at our tax records. It's just the
20	truth. It's not anything against you guys. It's just
21	that this is this is yet, ah, ah, they're back, oh,
22	we thought this thing was over and behind us, oh,
23	they're back again, all right, men. And I tell you,
24	they're going to battle stations now and they are

1	absolutely just dreading the fact that you're coming.
2	And if they know that you talked to me,
3	they're going to be very interested in knowing what I
4	had to say, and I'm very surprised that there wasn't a
5	naval representative or some type of officer present at
6	these proceedings to refute what I've said or comment
7	on them. And they will. And they'll come back and
8	they'll say, well, that's a bunch of hooey, too. But
9	that's their opinion, and I've given you mine.
10	And I've given you my candid opinions, trying
11	to remove the emotion, the resentment, the feelings of
12	betrayal to some extent that I've that I've felt in
13	order to give you the best unbiased and direct,
14	truthful answers that I can and to help you in this
15	investigative cause because my obligation is not to the
16	Navy and it's not to you, but it's to the families that
17	lost their members and loved ones in hopes that you are
18	then aided in coming up with recommendations and
19	solutions that can help these things from happening
20	again.
21	But as the guy said in his article, these
22	aren't new lessons. We've had collisions and
23	groundings before. This isn't anything new. It's just
24	a different version of the story.

1	MR. STRAUCH: I don't have any more
2	questions. Do you have any any questions of us
3	before we finish up?
4	MR. WADDLE: Not that I want to ask on the
5	record.
6	MR. ROTH-ROFFY: Okay. So
7	MR. STRAUCH: Want to go off the record?
8	MR. ROTH-ROFFY: The time is now about 13:18
9	and this concludes our conversation with Mr. Scott
10	Waddle. Thank you very much.
11	MR. WADDLE: You're welcome, gentlemen.
12	(Whereupon, at 1:18 p.m., on March 14, 2002,
13	the proceedings were concluded.)
14	